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## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present a review of the parasitic mite family Psorergatidae (Dubinin, 1957). Numerous papers have been published with descriptions of new psorergatic mites, but never has been attempted to arrange these species in a phylogenetic rank order. The author has tried to construct a consistent phylogenetic tree and shows some difficulties which can arise in doing so.

Since the description of the first Psorergates species by Tyrrell, 1883, numerous new species have been described. After establishment by Dubinin (1957) of a separate family Psorergatidae, Fain (1959 a,b) named two new genera. The genus Psorergatoides, infesting Chiroptera hosts, and the genus Psorobia on several different host orders.

Mites of the family Psorergatidae are small, dorso-ventrally flattened, disc-shaped mites. The first species, Psorergates simplex was described by Tyrrell in 1883 from the house mouse Mus musculus, from Canada. He enumerated the following characteristics for this new genus: "General shape of the male and female quite different, the male being provided with legs which are terminated by a spine and claw, in the female the legs are very small and without terminal appendage, mandibles styliform. The nymph and larva resemble the female rather than the male."

Figures in the original publication show that Tyrrell took a nymph for a female and the female for a male specimen. Tyrrell did not consider the chaetotaxy of the body, gnathosoma and legs, and the legs were to be four-segmented (tibia-genu fused). He added drawings of the dorsal and ventral side of the male (really the female), the female (in reality a nymph), a nymph, larva, egg, and the gnathosoma. P. simplex was to be closely related to the genus Myobia.

Following you will find an update of the knowledge of the family Psorergatidae with tables of measurements, host tables and phylogenetic hypotheses.

Material used for this study belongs to the Lukoschus' collection, which contains a very large collection of almost all described species from Psorergatidae besides a number of undescribed species. The collection is now transferred to the Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum (formerly Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie) in Leiden after his untimely demise in August 1987. Data from species not present in the Lukoschus' collection were drawn from literature.

Systematic position of the family Psorergatidae
Historically the species of Psorergatidae have been placed in several families and
superfamilies. Tyrrell, describing the first species, relegated the new genus under the family Myobiidae. Vizthum (1929), however, considered the genus Psorergates to be part of the family Cheyletidae. Next, the genus was placed in the family Myobiidae again by Baker \& Wharton (1964). Before this Dubinin (1957) considered the genus Psorergates to be forming a separate family Psorergatidae belonging to the superfamily Demodicoidea together with the family Demodicidae. Probably because of being unfamiliar with the Russian literature Baker \& Wharton did not know about the new status of the genus.

More recent classifications by Krantz (1978) and Kethley (1982) show relationships of the family Psorergatidae with eight other families in the superfamily Cheyletoidea. Krantz gives a brief description of the family with enumeration of the pathological effects (mange, dermatitis, follicular infection), infested host orders, and a sample drawing of one of the species. Also a key is given to the family. Krantz places the family Psorergatidae in the superfamily Cheyletoidea together with the families Cheyletidae, Cheyletiellidae, Myobiidae, Harpyrhynchidae, Syringophilidae, Ophioptidae, Demodicidae and Cloacaridae. The superfamily Cheyletoidea belongs to the subcohort Raphignathae and the cohort Eleutherengonina, suborder Actinedida, order Acariformes.

Kethley (1982) largely follows the classification of Krantz (1978), except for the name of the suborder for which he uses the older name Prostigmata (also used by Krantz in 1970).

In Moss et al. (in prep.) phylogenetic relationships of the family Harpyrhychidae are elucidated. Here we find a phylogenetic analysis of the superfamily Cheyletoidea with 65 different characters. It appears to be that the families Demodicidae, Cloacaridae and Psorergatidae belong to a monophyletic group characterized by a number of apomorphies. The family Psorergatidae is the sistergroup of the Demodicidae and Cloacaridae and shows the apomorphic characteristic of having retrorse spurs on femora I-IV. Sister group of latter three families is the branch of the cladogram formed by the families Harpyrhynchidae and Ophioptidae.

## Morphology of the mites of the family Psorergatidae*

Adults. Body shape and body formation
Psorergatidae are tiny, dorso-ventrally flattened mites. The body outline (figs. 1, 4,5 ) is slightly oval with a length from the tip of the gnathosoma to the end of the opisthosoma ranging from 90 to 220 microns, and a width ranging from 80 to 170 microns. The legs are inserted ventro-laterally and are regularly distributed over the idiosoma. The dorsal shield (figs. 4,5) is striated at its margins, where the cuticula is soft, and the outer borders of the shield are often undulated. The sclerotized part of the shield is punctated. Males have a dorso-anterior, elongated penis. The female,

[^0]genital opening (fig. 1, GO) lies postero-ventrally between two adanal lobes. The male possesses only one postero-ventral adanal lobe (fig. 6). The venter of both males and females shows four pairs of more or less well sclerotized epimera, projecting inwards from the bases of the legs. In most species the first pair of epimera is recurved laterally. In both sexes the anus is absent.

## Gnathosoma

The gnathosoma (figs. $3,4,5,7,8$ ) consists of a basal part, the hypostome, and a pair of two-segmented palps. The dorso-anterior part of the hypostome is the stylophore capsule (stc) flanked by the palps. The two-segmented (gnathosomal) supracoxal setae (ep) are situated dorso-laterally on the hypostome. The proximal part of these setae is dentated apically and more or less enfolds the distal part. The supracoxal setae are basically the same in all species except in Psorergates species from Sciuridae hosts. In the latter species the supracoxal setae are enwrapped in a part of the epidermis and the setae are one-segmented (Giesen \& Lukoschus, 1982; Giesen et al., 1982). The palps flank the stylophore capsule and are inserted antero-laterally on the hypostome. The dorso-basal part of the palps consists of the fused trochanter-femur-genu segments (Moss et al., in prep.). The other segment of the palps is situated ventrally of the basal segment and is a fusion of the tibia-tarsus segments (Moss et al., in prep.). The trochanter-femur-genu segment bears three setae. The (palpal tibial) dorsal femoral seta ( $d F$ ) is serrate in the genera Psorobia and Psorergatoides. In the genus Psorergates the $d F$ seta is smooth and variable in length. The latter seta shows consistent differences between genera and groups within genera and therefore is an important diagnostic character. Right next to the dorsal femoral seta is the, always very small, antiaxial seta ( $v G$ ). Both setae, $v G$ and $d F$, are so close-set that the hair rings are fused. Apically the spine-like, distal, dorsal seta ( $d G$ ) is present. The tibiatarsus segment of the palps has four setae. Dorso-laterally is a thin, tactile seta, probably ats if we compare the topology in the Psorergatidae and Harpyrhynchidae (Moss et al., in prep.). Medio-apically are present three strong, spine-like setae (fig. 3). Comparison with the related family Harpyrhynchidae could not resolve homology between these setae and any of the setae present in Harpyrhynchidae. One of the setae in Psorergatidae might be homologous with the tarsal hook (thk) in Harpyrhynchidae. The morphology of two of these setae shows sawed, bended, apical parts, the third seta is pointed, spine-like and straight.

The chelicerae are partially contained in the stylophore capsule and consist of two parts. The sclerotized cheliceral condyle is the median structure with dorsally directed teeth. The number and shape of these teeth can vary in the different species of the family, but because exact morphology (fig. 8) can only be observed in very heavily squashed specimens, this character is not used in the keys to the species. The movable digits of the chelicerae are connected to the condyle as figured (fig. 8) and project between the cheliceral condyle. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) photographs of the cheliceral condyle, the setae of the palpal tibia-tarsus segment, and the supracoxal (ep) seta are shown in Giesen \& Lukoschus (1982).

Ventrally on the stylophore a pair of small (subgnathosomal) setae are present. These setae are homologes of the internal subcapitulars ( $p m c 1$ ) in the family Harpyrhynchidae.

## Legs

The legs (figs. 1,2) have five segments and are slightly tapering towards the end. The trochanter segments have an anterior, proximal spur directed towards the venter middle. Apparently these spurs are used for fixation to the host and are equivalent in function to the coxal lobes of Harpypalpinae mentioned in Moss et al. (in prep.). Also the femur segments have a proximal, posterior spur directed to the venter middle and probably with the same function as the trochanter spurs.

Chaetotaxy of the legs.- The chaetotaxy is based on the nomenclature of leg setae of Stigmatidae by Grandjean (1944), and of Harpyrhynchidae by Moss et al. (in prep.), and homologies are hypothesized on grounds of topology and general reduction trends of setae.

Trochanter with one ventral seta ( $v$ ) in all species of the family. The length of this seta may vary and can be a diagnostic character on the species level. Femora I-IV at least with one postero-lateral seta ( $v$ ). In a number of species groups in the different genera a second seta, proximal of the $v$ seta may be present. The latter seta is hypothesized to be the $v^{\prime \prime}$ seta of femora I-IV. Because of the consistent differences between different species groups in number and in length of the femoral setae these are considered to be important diagnostic characters. Genu I to IV only has one seta ( $v^{\prime \prime}$ ) postero-laterally on the ventral side. The genual seta can be absent in species of the genus Psorergatoides. In species of the other two genera of the family Psorergatidae, the genual seta of leg IV can be much longer and stronger than the genual seta of legs I-III or it can be subequal. Because of the consistent differences in absence/presence and length of this seta between species groups this seta is considered to be an important diagnostic character. The tibia always has a short spine-like seta ventrolaterally on the anterior side. The shape of this spine may vary between species groups. It is hypothesized that this spine is homologuous to the $v^{\prime}$ in Harpyrhynchidae. Medio-dorsally a seta (d) is present in all known species.

Tarsi with a bilobed pad-like empodium and two apical claws. The claws in the genera Psorergates and Psorergatoides may be one-pointed, bifurcated of trifurcated. Because of consistent differences in the number of claw points between species groups in the two genera mentioned above this character is considered to be of taxonomic importance. Ventrally legs I-III always have an antero-lateral spine. This spine, hypothesized to be the $u^{\prime}$ homologe, may vary in shape between species and species groups. The presence of $u^{\prime}$ on leg IV is an important diagnostic character for distinguishing major groups within the different genera. Dorso-laterally mostly two setae are present, $t c^{\prime}$ and $t c^{\prime \prime}$. These setae are in general equally long, but the species of the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorergates exhibit a reduced tc' seta, which is never longer than 5 micrometers. In addition to this the posterior $t c$ " seta can be absent or present in the "insectivora" group. Medio-dorsally a third, small, mostly enveloped by a skin fold, rounded seta is present on legs I and II. This little seta is hypothesized to be homologuous with the $p^{\prime \prime}$ @ seta of Harpyrhynchidae and is probably not a solenidion as indicated in previous literature. Because this seta is so extremely small, the method to distinguish solenidia with the help of polarized light mentioned by Grandjean (1973) is not applicable here. Next to the $p$ "@ seta a solenidion (omega) is present on legs I and II.

## Idiosoma

Dorsally the idiosoma is covered by a circular to oval, punctated shield. The only known deviation from this is in the male of Psorergates quercinus, which has a shield divided into a posterior and an anterior part. The outline of the idiosoma is mostly undulating with the soft parts between the edge of the shield and the borders of the idiosoma being striated. At the edge of the shield three or four pairs of setae are present (figs. 4, 5). Antero-laterally the sc $i$ setae, laterally between the level of legs II and III a seta of the $c$-series and between the level of legs III and IV a setae of the $d$ series is present. Two genera of the family Psorergatidae have a fourth seta on the dorsal shield. This seta of the $e$-series is situated postero-laterally behind the level of legs IV. Dorsal setae in the genera Psorergates and Psorobia are thin, mostly short, but distinct. In the genus Psorergatoides however, the dorsal setae are reduced to pointlike setae, or often only the alveoli remain. In some specimens and species even these hair rings are hardly distinguishable any more.

In females one more pair of dorsal setae is present antero-medially on the dorsal shield. These ve setae are also present in males along with a pair of genito-anal setae ( $p s$ ) laterally of the genital opening. Relative position of $v e$ and $p s$ setae in males may vary and is an useful diagnostic character.

Venter of idiosoma (fig. 1). Laterally four pairs of legs are inserted, equally spaced along the idiosoma. The opisthosoma is greatly reduced and in females the genital opening is situated median at the level of legs IV. The two pairs of opisthosomal setae are shifted along with the genital opening and are situated on two adanal lobes alongside the genital opening. Generally these setae ( $h 1$ and $h 2$ ) are subequal, but one of each pair may be reduced in length. In males only one median adanal lobe is present, bearing one pair of setae ( $h$ ). In males these setae can be completely reduced as in a number of species of the genus Psorergatoides. Because of consistent variation in the length of the $h$ setae between species and between species groups, these setae are considered to be an important diagnostic character.

From the legs projecting to the venter middle, are subcutaneously the sclerotized epimera. The epimera of legs I are mostly recurved laterally, the other three pairs are straight. At the level of legs III is the only remaining pair of coxal and intercoxal setae. These intercoxal setae of legs III (ic III) are an important diagnostic character. The distance between these setae may vary between species groups of the genus Psorergates, or these setae may be totally absent as in some species of the genus Psorergatoides.

Morphology of the male genital region
The genital opening in males is situated dorsally, approximately at the level of the sc $i$ setae. In comparison to the related Harpyrhynchidae, the genital opening has shifted much more dorso-anteriorly. The penis and penis sheath of Psorergatidae males are usually straight, but may vary greatly in length between different species. For comparison of species groups this character is not very useful, but for species distinction it can be helpful.

## Developmental stages

Almost no morphological differences in the developmental stages of the different
species of the family Psorergatidae can be found. Larvae and nymphs of the genus Psorergates can be distinguished by their different gnathosomal setae, but otherwise no clear differentiating characteristics exist.

Egg.- The eggs (fig. 10) are circular to slightly oval without any appendices or protrudings as for instance in Demodicidae. The size of the egg is relatively very large in comparison to the female; it fills almost half of the idiosoma (fig. 9). Like in most obligate parasites numbers of eggs are very low.

Praelarva.-The praelarva (fig. 11) develops within the egg without hatching. Two small, sclerotized, sickle-shaped structures (the pharyngeal bulb?) are visible inside, and a first differentiation in gnathosoma and idiosoma takes place. In this praelarva subsequently a larva develops (fig. 12).

Larva.-The larvae (fig. 13) are disc-shaped and relatively big in comparison to the adults. The gnathosoma is very alike that of the adults, but the setation is somewhat shorter (fig. 14). The three pairs of two-segmented legs possess two, threepointed claws on the apical segment. The first and second pair of legs also show a small solenidion (so) on the apical segment. The proximal segments of the three pairs of legs have a ventro-median directed, basal spur, varying in size and degree of sclerotization. The epimera, when visible, are weakly sclerotized. Setae are absent on legs and idiosoma.

Protonymph.- The protonymph (fig. 15) has a fourth pair of legs added, and is a little bigger as the larva. Setation of the gnathosoma (fig. 16), and leg claws are stronger. The pronounced sexual dimorphism of the "dissimilis " group of the genus Psorergates already shows in the protonymphs. The gnathosomal setation of the female protonymphs is longer and stronger.

Deutonymph.- Only very small differences can be found between protonymphs and deutonymphs of a species of the family Psorergatidae. Deutonymphs (fig. 17) are a little bit bigger, and the fourth pair of legs is slightly shifted posteriorly. Also the setation of the gnathosoma is somewhat stronger (fig. 18).

## The genera of the family Psorergatidae

Since the description of Psorergates simplex by Tyrrell in 1883 very few species have been described until 1959, when Fain divided the family Psorergatidae into three genera. Only 11 species were known (Fain added 7 new species in his publications 1959a,b). Since than 59 new species are described, mainly by Lukoschus and coworkers. The genus Psorergates is characterized by the apomorphies of having smooth palp-tibial ( $d F$ ) setae, and the presence of three pairs of dorso-lateral shield setae (sci, c, d). Hosts are from the orders Scandentia, Rodentia and Insectivora. Infested families are Tupaiidae, Cricetidae, Muridae, Sciuridae, Gliridae, Talpidae and Soricidae (see also tables 9 and 10). The genus Psorergatoides is characterized by the apomorphy of having four pairs of strongly reduced dorso-lateral shield setae ( sci, c, $d, e$ ). These setae are reduced to point-like structures, and sometimes only the alveoli remain. Psorergatoides species have the serrate palp-tibial setae ( $d F$ ) in common with Psorobia. Hosts are members of the order Chiroptera. No other genera of the family Psorergatidae are known from this host order.The genus Psorobia does not
have any apomorphies. This genus appears to be para- or polyphyletic as will be discussed on page 35. Also the host group is not homogeneous. Host orders are Rodentia, Artiodactyla, Primates, Insectivora, Carnivora, and Lagomorpha.

> Psorergates (Tyrrell, 1883)
> Psorergates simplex (Tyrrell, 1883)
> (figs. 18-22, table 1)

Introduction.- As already mentioned in the general introduction Tyrrell (1883) described the type species of the genus Psorergates, giving this new species the following characteristics: "General shape of the male and female quite different, the male being provided with legs which are terminated by a spine and claw, in the female the legs are very small and without terminal appendage, mandibles styliform. The nymph and larva resemble the female rather than the male, oviparous." Figures of dorsal and ventral sides of the male, female, nymph, larva, egg and gnathosoma were added. Piana (1886) found P. simplex in cysts on the house mouse. He thought Psorergates was most closely related to the genus Sarcoptes. Michael (1889) described a mite, Goniomerus musculinus (Psorergates musculinus), from the ear conches of Arvicola agrestis. Neumann (1893) described $P$. simplex from subcutanous cysts and from scabs of the ear of Mus musculus. He added drawings of the male, and mentioned that Tyrrell had taken the female for a male and a nymph for a female. Neumann also pointed out that male and female are very much alike, the male only differing through the presence of a long dorsal penis. He incorporated the genus Psorergates in the subfamily Cheyletinae.

Canestrini (1894) gave a redescription of P. simplex from Mus musculus and Arvicola agrestis with drawings of the female, male and penis, larva, egg and cyst. In the discussion Canestrini mentioned that Gerlach (1857) had made some drawings of an unknown mite. Although there were quite considerable differences between these drawings and the ones made by himself, Canestrini thought the two species to be conspecific, because of the shape of the body, the place of the epimera, the form and direction of the legs, the presence of terminal setae and the host species.

The type specimens of Psorergates simplex are lost, and the rather poor descriptions of Tyrrell and later authors were always felt as a lack in the documentation of the family. A new series of specimens was collected from the same host (Mus musculus), from the same locality (Canada), and in the same niche (the ear concho). Based on above identical host specifications and comparison with existing descriptive material of the original type specimen redescription seems justifiable, although Fain et al. (1966) did not want to come to the same conclusion.

Diagnosis.- Psorergates simplex, with characteristics of the family Psorergatidae, genus Psorergates. Belonging to the "muricola" species group with short ( $2-6$ microns), strong, smooth palpal tibial (dF) setae; short, subequal, genual setae I-IV; sclerotized ducts in females; and the formation of a.m. ( $v e$ e and genital ( $p s$ ) setae in males quad-rangle-like. Differing from the most closely related species by the size of the dorsal shield in females, length of gnathosomal (ep) setae in females, and length of penis (see also tables 7 and 8 ).

Description.- Female shape and body formation like in other species of the genus. Measurements of 10 specimen (in micrometers) in table 1.

Venter (fig. 18). Epimera I recurved, not fused with epimera II. Epimera II-IV linear, weakly sclerotized, directed ventro-median. Genital opening a longitudinal slit between legs IV, flanked by a pair of adanal lobes, each with a pair of strong, long, filiform setae ( $h 1, h 2$ ). Anterior of the genital opening two sclerotized ducts, which are strongly sclerotized proximally, lead into the corps inner. Ventral setae (ic III) situated medially at the level of legs III.

Legs with five free segments: trochanters each with a prominent, well sclerotized, acute, proximal spur, and a single, filiform seta ( $v$ ) at the base of this spur. Femoral spur medio-caudad directed with at its base two postero-lateral, subequal setae ( $v$, $v^{\prime \prime}$ ). Genual setae ( $v^{\prime \prime}$ ) subequal on all legs. Tibiae I-III with ventrally a short, setiform, antero-lateral spine ( $l^{\prime}$ ), and medio-dorsally a filiform seta ( $d$, fig. 21). Tarsi (figs. 18, 21) I-IV with a small, antero-lateral, ventral spine ( $u^{\prime}$ ), and dorsally an anterior ( $t c^{\prime}$ ), and posterior ( $t c$ "), filiform seta. The posterior seta is lacking on tarsus IV. Tarsi I-II dorsally with solenidion omega, and a very small seta ( $p$ "(G) enveloped by a fold of the epidermis. Apically two one-pointed claws, and a bilobed empodium are present on tarsi I-IV.

Dorsum (fig. 19) with sclerotized, punctated shield and lateral, weak parts striated as figured. Three pairs of setae (sc $i, c, d$ ) at the margins of the sclerotized shield, and a pair of point-like antero-median setae ( $v e$ ) at a distance of 13-16 microns from the anterior shield border.

Gnathosoma (figs. 18, 19) ventrally with a pair of thin setae (pmc 1) at the base of hypostome, anteriorly of the pharyngeal bulb. Dorsally on the hypostome the gnathosomal (ep) setae, inserted directly beneath the palps. The bipartite gnathosomal setae have a dentated basal part, and a smooth apical part. The basal, palpal trochanter-femur-genu segment has a posterior, short, strong seta ( $d F$ ), and a minute seta ( $v G$ ) directly in front of the $d F$ seta with fused alveoli. Apically a weakly sclerotized spur ( $d G$ ) is present, and ventro-laterally (fig. 18) a small seta ( $v^{\prime}$ ) can be observed on the basal, palpal segment. Ventrally is the apical, palpal tibia-tarsus segment. No specimens were available with clearly visible structures on this palp segment. For reference to the possible structures present see general description in chapter III. Sclerotized, fixed part of chelicerae three-dentated.

Male shape and body formation as in female. Measurements of 5 specimens (in micrometers) in table 1.

Venter (fig. 20). Epimera I recurved, more strongly sclerotized in the middle. Epimera II-IV weakly sclerotized, straight. At the level of epimera III a pair of ventral, median setae (ic III). A single, small, adanal lobe gives rise to a pair of long, filiform setae ( $h$ ). Anus absent.

Legs like in female, setal length corresponding with female, except femoral setae somewhat shorter.

Dorsum (fig. 22) with sclerotized, punctate shield, except the region of the genital opening. Three pairs of lateral shield setae (sci,c,d). Antero-medially the genital opening flanked by two pairs of setae ( $v e, p s$ ), with distinct alveoli. The formation of these setae is quadrangle-like. Penis simple, penis sheath a sclerotized rod with posteriorly a deep incision.

Gnathosoma as in female.
Developmental stages like in other species of the genus.
Type host and locality.-Mus musculus L. (Muridae, Rodentia). Canada. Psorergates specimens from M. musculus from Europe appear to be conspecific with the described specimens from Canadian host.The mites were found under a thin layer of the stratum corneum at the inside base of the ear concho.

Table 1. Measurements of Psorergates simplex (Tyrrell, 1883) (females and males)

|  | females ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) min-max | males $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 146 (143-150) | 120 (108-126) |
| body width | 116 (114-119) | 96 (91-101) |
| shield length | 106 (101-108) | 78 (74-85) |
| shield width | 100 (96-102) | 74 (72-84) |
| length setae |  |  |
| terminal | 76 (72-82) | 59 (55-62) |
| trochanter | 8 (8-10) | 8 |
| femora I-III | 17 (16-17) | 13 (13-14) |
| femur IV | 17 (17-18) | 15 (14-16) |
| genua I-III | 4 (4-5) | 4 (2-4) |
| genu IV | 4 (4-5) | 4 (2-4) |
| lateral shield | 6 (5-6) | 5 (5-6) |
| palpal tibial | 5 (5-6) | 5 |
| gnathosomal | 4 (4-5) | 5 (4-5) |
| ventral | 7 | 6 (6-7) |
| distance between |  |  |
| ventral setae | 11 (8-11) | 11 (8-14) |
| a.m. setae |  | 11 (11-12) |
| genital setae |  | 8 |
| length penis |  | 44 (42-47) |
| length penis sheath |  | 26 (24-29) |

Subdivision of the genus
The genus Psorergates can be divided into six groups with characteristics as described in several publications. They are known under the names "dissimilis", "muricola", "apodemi", "gliricola", "sciuricola" and "insectivora" groups. The groups with their included species are given below followed by a description with definitions of each group.
"dissimilis" group: P. canadensis, P. watsoni, P. micromydis, P. auricola, P. zibethicalis, P. dissimilis, P. oeconomi, P. townsendi.
"muricola" group; P. muricola, P. oettlei, P. simplex, P. hispanicus, P. agrestis, P. rattus.
"apodemi" group: P. apodemi, P. deomydis, P. arvalis, P. pitimydis, P. microti, P. neerlandicus, P. callipidis, P. peromysci, P. pinetorum, P. alleni.
"gliricola" group: P. muscardinus, P. quercinus, P. eliomydis.
"sciuricola" group: P. paraxeri, P. dremomydis, P. glaucomys, P. tupaiae, P. ramai.
"insectivora" group: P. talpae, P. desmanae, P. urotrichi, P. sorici, P. cinereus, P. squamipes, P. cryptotis, P. baueri, P. mexicanus, P. crocidurae, P. doriae, P. etruscus.

The "dissimilis" group is defined by Lukoschus et al. (1967) by the following characteristics. Large distance between the ventral (ic III) setae, and sexual dimorphism in the length of the palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae. Females having long ( $25-36 \mu$ ) whip-like palpal tibial setae, and males very short setae (never longer than $5 \mu$ ). Genital setae of the males are situated on larger sclerotized shields. In the phylogenetic analysis of this paper the characteristic of sexual dimorphism with unequal palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae in females and males is apomorphic for the "dissimilis" group including $P$. musculinus. Additional apomorphies for the "dissimilis" group are the large distance between the ventral setae (more than $30 \mu$ ), a wider than long shield, and unequal setae on femora I-V. P. musculinus is characterized by the very short distance between the ventral setae. With a somewhat restricted definition of the "dissimilis" group latter species is included and like in Giesen \& OConnor (in press) I would like to add this species.

The "muricola" group is defined in Lukoschus et al. (1967) by the distance between the ventral (ic III) setae (between 5 and $20 \mu$ ), sclerotized ducts running from the genital opening to the body inner in females (fig. 23), equally short setae on genu I-IV, short palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae in males and females, and the genital ( $p s$ ) and antero-median ( $v e$ ) setae in males are arranged in a quadrate form. Here the "muricola" group is defined by palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae less than $6 \mu$, and the subequally short genual setae of legs I-IV.

The "apodemi" group is also defined by Lukoschus et al. (1967) and shows the following characteristics. Like the "muricola" group it has sclerotized genital ducts in females, and the same range of distances for the ventral (ic III) setae. The group is additionally defined by the different lengths of genual setae on legs I-III and IV, by the truncate palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae with length ( $10-18 \mu$ ), and the arrangement of the genital ( $p s$ ) and antero-median ( $v e$ e) setae in males is trapezoid. In the analysis used in this paper no apomorphies are found which could be uniquely ascribed to the "apodemi" group. The sclerotized ducts in females are shared with the "muricola" and "sciuricola" groups, and the trapezoid formation of the genital ( $p s$ ) and antero-median ( $v e$ ) setae is shared with the "sciuricola" group. The character of truncate palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae is not used in this analysis.

The "gliricola" group (new group) is first mentioned as three species more closely related to each other than each of them is to any other species of the genus by Lukoschus et al. (1971), but they give no definition of this group. Lukoschus et al. (1967) put the, at that time, described species P. eliomydis together with P. musculinus in the "musculinus" rest group. In the analysis of this publication the group is defined by a single apomorphy, which is the sclerotized genital atrium (fig. 1) in females.

The "sciuricola" group is defined by Giesen \& Lukoschus (1982) with the following characteristics. Tibial spine on legs IV present, tarsal spines strong, gnathosomal (ep) setae short, bilobed, with subequal length of lobes, and dorsal setae on tibiae I-IV relatively long. In the analysis in this paper no apomorphies could be found, but it is the single group within the genus Psorergates which retains the tibial spine on legs IV, and which shows no sclerotized genital ducts as the "muricola" and "apodemi" groups do.

The last group is the "insectivora" group defined by Lukoschus (1968). This is a large group containing almost all psorergatid species from Insectivora hosts, except one species from a macroscelidid host, which belongs to the genus Psorobia. Def-
initions given by Lukoschus (1968 a,b) are dorso-anterior ( $t c^{\prime}$ ) and dorso-posterior ( $t c^{\prime \prime}$ ) setae of tarsi I-III strongly differing in length, the anterior seta being very short; strongly anteriorly recurved dorsal shield; dorsal shield setae clearly seperated from shield border; gnathosomal (ep) setae lobed; terminal ( $h$ ) setae of males on a longer, stronger sclerotized shield; very long setae on genua IV. Apomorphies from phylogenetic analysis are short palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae (less than $6 \mu$ ); femoral setae (on each femur) unequal, the distal seta being half to two-thirds the length of the distal seta; short (less than $6 \mu$ ) dorso-anterior ( $t c^{\prime}$ ) seta on tarsi.

## Key to the species (based on females)

1. Palpal tibial setae short (never more than $6 \mu$ ); dorso-anterior and dorso-posterior
setae of tarsi strongly differing in length, sometimes dorso-posterior seta absent.
Seta of genu IV relatively very long and strong (seta always longer than seta
femur IV)."insectivora" group ................................................................................... 34

- Palpal tibial setae longer (except in P. deomydis); dorso-lateral setae of tarsi subequal; genual setae subequal or not so pronounced as in the "insectivora" group (seta always shorter than femur IV seta) ..................................................................... 2

2. Tibial spine IV present ................................................................................................... 3

Tibial spine IV absent ..................................................................................................... 7
3. Genual setae I-IV setiform; length of proximal seta of femora I-IV at least half of distal seta; gnathosomal setae short with subequal lobes."sciuricola" group ........ 5

- Genual setae I-IV reduced to short spines; proximal setae of femora I-IV reduced to short spines

4. Dorsal shield length 78-84 $\mu$; lateral shield setae 4-5 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae $10-12 \mu$ P. tupaiae

- Dorsal shield length 71-76 $\mu$; lateral shield setae 5-7 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae $5-10 \mu$ P. ramai

5. Tibial spine strong; femoral spur acute; tarsal spine strong, two-pointed; genu IV seta short 5-9 $\mu$ P. paraxeri

- Genu IV seta longer than $10 \mu$ ..... 6

6. Tibial spine strong; femoral spur blunt; tarsal spine strong, truncate; genu IV seta long 17-22 $\mu$ P. dremomydis

- Tibial spine thin, hair-like; femoral spur acute; tarsal spine strong, one-pointed; genu IV seta long c. $15 \mu$ P. glaucomys

7. Setae genua I-III and genu IV subequal $4-5 \mu$; palpal tibial setae shorter than $10 \mu$, strong, like broken off. "muricola" group ..... 8

- Setae genua I-III and genu IV of different length; palpal tibial setae filiform, longer than $10 \mu$ (except $P$. deomydis) ..... 13

8. Gnathosomal setae short $2 \mu$ ..... 9

- Gnathosomal setae longer than $4 \mu$ ..... 10

9. Shield distinctly oval shaped, length c. $84 \mu$, width c. $75 \mu$ . muricola

- Shield circular, length c. $96 \mu$, width c. $93 \mu$ ..... P. oettlei

10. Gnathosomal setae c. $9 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $1-2 \mu$ ..... P. rattus

- Gnathosomal setae 4-5 $\mu$; palpal tibial setae 4-6 $\mu$ ..... 11

11. Shield length 101-108 $\mu$; shield width $96-102 \mu$ ..... P. simplex (neotype)

- Shield length smaller than $95 \mu$; shield width smaller than $85 \mu$; palpal tibial setae 4-6 $\mu$ ..... 12

12. Body length $117-129 \mu$; body width $99-105 \mu$ P. hispanicus

- Body length 129-150 $\mu$; body width $105-120 \mu$; lateral shield setae 3-4 $\mu$; setae femur IV 12-15 $\mu$; trochanter setae $7-8 \mu$ ..... P. agrestis

13. Distance between ventral setae very small c. $2 \mu$; shield long and oval shaped; lat- eral shield setae very long 33-42 $\mu$ P. musculinus- Distance between ventral setae more than $5 \mu$14
14. Distance between ventral setae more than $20 \mu$; palpal tibial setae longer than 25$\mu$; dorsal shield more oval shaped; "dissimilis" group15

- Distance between ventral setae less than $20 \mu$; palpal tibial setae shorter than $20 \mu$ ..... 22

15. Ventral setae longer than $8 \mu$ ..... 16

- Ventral setae shorter than $8 \mu$ ..... 18

16. Distance between ventral setae $20-24 \mu$; gnathosomal setae c. $2 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $26-29 \mu$. ..... P. watsoni

- Distance between ventral setae more than $30 \mu$ ..... 17

17. Distance between ventral setae $34-36 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $8-9 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $34-37 \mu$ P. canadensis

- Distance between ventral setae 37-42 $\mu$; gnathosomal setae 6-7 $\mu$; palpal tibial setae 27-35 $\mu$ P. townsendi

18. Setae genua I-III and genu IV subequal c. $5 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $15 \mu$; setaefemur IV c. $20 \mu$P. micromydis

- Setae genua I-III and genu IV of different length; setae femora I-III 20-30 $\mu$; seta femur IV 25-45 $\mu$ ..... 19

19. Very long setae on femora and genua, femora I-III 25-30 $\mu$, femur IV 30-45 $\mu$, genua I-III 8-10 $\mu$, genu IV $24-30 \mu$ P. auricola

- Setae on femora and genua shorter than above ..... 20

20. Body length $159-168 \mu$; body width $120-142 \mu$; distance between ventral setae $20-$ $27 \mu$; seta genu IV c. $18 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $20 \mu$, setae femur IV c. $25 \mu$
P. dissimilis
Setae femora I-III c. $25 \mu$; setae femur IV c. $30 \mu$ ..... 21
21. Body length 174-207 $\mu$; body width 144-174 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 27 - $36 \mu$; setae genu IV c. $12 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $25 \mu$; setae femur IV c. $30 \mu$
P. zibethicalis

- Body length 142-174 $\mu$; body width 119-145 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 26 - $30 \mu$; seta genu IV c. $18 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $25 \mu$; setae femur IV c. $30 \mu$P. oeconomi

22. Genital sclerotized rods running inside body (fig. 19); dorsal shield circular or wider than long; length ventral setae $5-7 \mu$; "apodemi" group ..... 25
Dorsal shield oval, longer than wide ..... 23
23. No genital rods present; dorsal shield oval shaped; length ventral setae more than $10 \mu$; "gliricola" group ..... 32

- Genital rods present; dorsal shield oval shape ..... 24

24. Length ventral setae $8-11 \mu$; shield length $88-91 \mu$; shield width $78-81 \mu$
P. peromysci

- Length ventral setae 5-7 $\mu$; shield length $113-132 \mu$; shield width $106-115 \mu$
P. alleni

25. Palpal tibial setae very short $2-3 \mu$; distance between ventral setae $14-19 \mu$; body length $132-150 \mu$; body width $111-123 \mu$ P. deomydis

- Palpal tibial setae longer than $10 \mu$ ..... 26

26. Shield length shorter than shield width ..... 27

- Shield length $c$. equal or longer than shield width ..... 28

27. Body length $126-141 \mu$; body width 111-123 $\mu$; terminal setae $60-66 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $15 \mu$; lateral shield setae $5-6 \mu$ P. aroalis

- Body length 117-135 $\mu$; body width 105-120 $\mu$; terminal setae c. $75 \mu$; setae femora I-III $20-25 \mu$; lateral shield setae $4-5 \mu$ P. pitimydis

28. Shield width smaller than $80 \mu$ ..... 29

- Shield width longer than $80 \mu$ ..... 31

29. Body length $105-143 \mu$; body width $90-114 \mu$; terminal setae $60-75 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $4-5 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $15 \mu$; setae femur IV c. $20 \mu$

$\qquad$
P. microti

- Setae femora I-III longer than $15 \mu$; setae femora IV longer than $20 \mu$ ..... 30

30. Setae femora I-III c. $19 \mu$; setae femur IV c. $24 \mu$; distance between ventral setae c. $9 \mu$ P. neerlandicus

- Setae femora I-III 22-26 $\mu$; setae femur IV $22-30 \mu$; seta genua I-III 6-8 $\mu$; seta genu IV $16-22 \mu$ P. pinetorum

31. Palpal tibial setae $10-13 \mu$; seta genu IV $10-15 \mu$ P. apodemi

- Gnathosomal setae c. $5 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $15-18 \mu$; seta genu IV c. $18 \mu$; shield length $87-105 \mu$; shield width $78-105 \mu$ P. callipidis

32. Distance between ventral setae $14-18 \mu$; setae femora I-III 18-22 $\mu$; shield length 109-118 $\mu$; gnathosomal setae $c .5 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $17-19 \mu$.......... P. quercinus Shield length $96-106 \mu$ ..... 33
33. Distance between ventral setae $7-14 \mu$; setae femora I-III $23-27 \mu$; shield length 96 -$106 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $5-7 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $12-14 \mu$............ P. muscardinus- Distance between ventral setae c. $15 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. $30 \mu$; shield length c.$102 \mu$; gnathosomal setae c. $3 \mu$; palpal tibial setae c. $18 \mu$
$\qquad$P. eliomydis
34. Tarsal claws one-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; two setae on femur IV; "talpidae" group ..... 37

- Tarsal claws two- or three-pointed ..... 35

35. Tarsal claws two-pointed ..... 36

- Tarsal claws three-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III absent; two setae on femur IV; "crocidurini" group ..... 43

36. Tarsal claws two-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; one seta on femur IV; ventral part of empodium rounded; "soricini" group ..... 39

- Tarsal claws two-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; one seta on femur IV; ventral part of empodium acute; "blarinini" group ..... 41

37. Seta trochanter shorter than $5 \mu$; seta genua I-III 1 micron; seta tibia $4-5 \mu$; shield length $70-78 \mu$; shield width $65-71 \mu$ ..... P. urotrichi

- Seta trochanter longer than $8 \mu$; seta genua I-III 3-5 $\mu$; seta tibia $10-15 \mu$; shieldlength more than $79 \mu$; shield width more than $70 \mu$38

38. Proximal seta of femoral setae distinctly shorter and thinner than distal seta, dis-tal seta $20-28 \mu$; terminal setae $78-98 \mu$; shield length $87-96 \mu$; shield width $75-90 \mu$

- Proximal seta of femoral setae somewhat shorter but equally strong as distal one,
distal seta $28-36 \mu$; shield length $80-96 \mu$; shield width $70-76 \mu$; terminal setae $60-$ $72 \mu$ $\qquad$ P. talpae

39. Dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III shorter than $10 \mu$; trochanter seta shorter than 5 $\mu$ P. squamipes

- Dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III longer than $13 \mu$; trochanter seta longer than $5 \mu$ 40

40. Body length 117-135 $\mu$; body width $93-99 \mu$; seta femur IV 28 -33 $\mu$; ventral setae 4 $\mu$; gnathosomal setae $8-9 \mu$ P. sorici

- Body length 138-159 $\mu$; body width 112-131 $\mu$; seta femur IV 19-25 $\mu$; ventral setae $8 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $11-13 \mu$............................................................. P. cinereus

41. Seta genu IV 22-31 $\mu$; epimeron I not circularly closed, strongly sclerotized prolongations of epimera II-IV along the trochanters
P. cryptotis

- Seta genu IV 33-48 $\mu$ 42

42. Seta genu IV 33-45 $\mu$; epimeron I circularly closed, no sclerotizations along trochanters II-IV . P. baueri


43. Shield length $78-85 \mu$; shield width $66-72 \mu$; terminal setae $49-58 \mu$; distance between ventral setae $13-18 \mu$; ventral part of empodium rounded. P. crocidurae

- Shield length $86-93 \mu$; shield width 70-75 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 17-24 $\mu$; ventral part of empodium rounded
P. doriae


## Key to the species (based on males)

1. Palpal tibial setae short (never more than $6 \mu$ ); dorso-anterior and dorso-posterior setae of tarsi strongly differing in length, sometimes dorso-posterior seta absent. Seta of genu IV relatively very long and strong (seta always longer than seta femur IV); "insectivora" group
.33

- Palpal tibial setae longer (except in P. deomydis); dorso-lateral setae of tarsi subequal; genual setae subequal or not so pronounced as in the "insectivora" group (seta always shorter than femur IV seta)
.2

2. Tibial spine on leg IV present .............................................................................. 3

- Tibial spine on leg IV absent ................................................................................. 7

3. Genual setae I-IV setiform; length of proximal seta of femora I-IV at least half of distal seta; gnathosomal setae short with subequal lobes.."sciuricola" group ..... 5

- Genual setae I-IV reduced to short spines; proximal femoral seta reduced to short spine

4
4. Length penis $36-39 \mu$; length penis sheath $24-28 \mu$; distance between ventral setae 9-12 $\mu$, between a.m. setae $25-28 \mu$
P. tupaiae

- Length penis 20-28 $\mu$; length penis sheath 15-21 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 6-9 $\mu$, between a.m. setae $23-25 \mu$ P. ramai

5. Tibial spine strong; femoral spur acute; tarsal spine strong, two-pointed; genu IV
seta short 6-7 $\mu$; penis sheath very long 38-45 $\mu$; distance between a.m. setae 29-32 $\mu$................................................................................................................... P. paraxeri

- Penis sheath $10-18 \mu$ 6

6. Tibial spine strong; femoral spur blunt; tarsal spine strong, truncate; genu IV seta long 17-19 $\mu$; penis sheath $\mathrm{c} .18 \mu$; distance between a.m. setae $20-23 \mu$
P. dremomydis

- Tibial spine thin, hair-like; femoral spur acute; tarsal spine strong, one-pointed; genu IV seta long c. $23 \mu$; penis sheath c. $10 \mu$; distance between a.m. setae c. $20 \mu$
P. glaucomys

7. Setae genua I-III and genu IV subequal long 4-5 $\mu$; palpal tibial setae strong, like broken off, shorter than $10 \mu$; "muricola" group 8

- Setae genua I-III and genu IV of different length; palpal tibial setae filiform,longer than $10 \mu$ (except in P. deomydis)13

8. Terminal setae absent ..... P. oettlei

- Terminal setae present ..... 9

9. Dorsal shield oval, length and width differing $10 \mu$ or more ..... 10

- Dorsal shield approximately circular ..... 11

10. Shield width $66 \mu$; length penis $28 \mu$; length penis sheath $19 \mu$; femoral setae 15-18 $\mu$ P. rattus

- $\quad$ Shield width $75 \mu$; length penis $42 \mu$; length penis sheath $27 \mu$; femoral setae 10-12$\mu$P. agrestis

11. Body length $99-105 \mu$; body width $81-90 \mu$; terminal setae $70-80 \mu$; lateral shield setae $c .3 \mu$; penis length $39-42 \mu$ P. hispanicus

- Lateral shield setae 5-7 $\mu$ ..... 12

12. Body length $108-126 \mu$; body width $91-101 \mu$; terminal setae $55-62 \mu$; lateral shield setae 5-6 $\mu$; penis length $42-47 \mu$ P. simplex neotype

- Body length 105-111 $\mu$; body width 93-94 $\mu$; terminal setae $60-65 \mu$; lateral shield setae 6-7 $\mu$; penis length $34-38 \mu$ P. muricola

13. Distance between ventral setae very small $2-5 \mu$; ventral setae $12 \mu$; lateral shield setae 8-12 $\mu$ P. musculinus

- Distance between ventral setae more than $5 \mu$ ..... 14

14. Distance between ventral setae more than $20 \mu$; length ventral setae $4-5 \mu$; lateral shield setae 3-5 $\mu$; "dissimilis" group ..... 15

- Distance between ventral setae 5-20 $\mu$ ..... 22

15. Genual setae I-III and genual seta IV subequal long c. $4 \mu$; setae femora I-III c. 10 $\mu$; setae femur IV c. $12 \mu$ P. micromydis

- Genual setae I-III and genual seta IV of different length; femoral setae I-III $15 \mu$ ormore; femoral setae IV $16 \mu$ or longer16

16. Length penis sheath more than $30 \mu$ ..... 17

- Length penis sheath less than $30 \mu$ ..... 19

17. Distance between ventral setae $24 \mu$; length penis $36-40 \mu$; length penis sheath 33- $35 \mu$; shield width $56-62 \mu$; terminal setae $37-48 \mu$ P.watsoni

- Distance between ventral setae more than $30 \mu$ ..... 18

18. Distance between ventral setae $32 \mu$; length penis $40-44 \mu$; length penis sheath 34 - $38 \mu$; shield width $63-68 \mu$; terminal setae $16-38 \mu$ P. canadensis
Distance between ventral seta
$71-98 \mu$; terminal setae $13-32 \mu$ P. townsendi
19. Length penis 45-50 $\mu$; shield length $100-111 \mu$; shield width $90-96 \mu$ P. zibethicalis

- Penis shorter than $45 \mu$; shield length less than $100 \mu$; shield width less than $85 \mu$.
$\qquad$

20. Seta genua I-III $5 \mu$; seta genu IV $14 \mu$; length penis $38 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $3-4 \mu$; length penis sheath $20 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $4-5 \mu$.............................. P. oeconomi

- Length penis sheath 24-29 $\mu$ 21

21. Seta genua I-III 4-6 $\mu$; seta genu IV $12-13 \mu$; length penis $39-42 \mu$; palpal tibial setae $1-2 \mu$; length penis sheath $24-29 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $2-3 \mu \ldots$. P. dissimilis
0 - Seta genua I-III $8 \mu$; seta genu IV 15-20 $\mu$; length penis 33-38 $\mu$; palpal tibial setae 4-5 $\mu$; length penis sheath $24-27 \mu$; gnathosomal setae $5-6 \mu$ P. auricola
22. Dorsal shield approximately circular, or sometimes wider than long; length ven- tral setae $6 \mu$ or less; palpal tibial setae longer than $8 \mu$ (except P. deomydis) "apodemi" group ..... 26

- Dorsal shield oval, longer than wide ..... 23

23. Length ventral setae $6 \mu$ or more; palpal tibial setae shorter than $8 \mu$ " gliricola" group ..... 25
Length ventral setae $5-8 \mu$, palpal tibial setae $10-15 \mu$ ..... 24
24. Shield length $78-86 \mu$; shield width $66-70 \mu$; trochanter setae $8-9 \mu$; femoral setae 11-19 $\mu$ P. peromysci

- Shield length 103-113 $\mu$; shield width $96-98 \mu$; trochanter setae 14-18 $\mu$; femoral setae $23-35 \mu$ ..... P. alleni

25. Length penis 29-34 $\mu$; length penis sheath $18-24 \mu$; distance between a.m. setae $8-9$ $\mu$; distance between genital setae $3-5 \mu$; genital and a.m. setae very close-setP. muscardinus

- Length penis 46-52 $\mu$; length penis sheath 28-31 $\mu$; distance between a.m. setae 24-$25 \mu$; distance between genital setae 19-20 $\mu$P. quercinus

26. Palpal tibial setae very small 1-2 $\mu$; length penis sheath $24-25 \mu$; distance between a.m. setae $18 \mu$; distance between genital setae $10 \mu$ P. deomydis

- Palpal tibial setae longer than $8 \mu$ ..... 27

27. Length penis sheath more than $30 \mu$ ..... 28

- Length penis sheath less than $30 \mu$ ..... 29

28. Palpal tibial setae $15-18 \mu$; shield length $84-90 \mu$; shield width $78-87 \mu$
P. callipidis

- Palpal tibial setae $10-13 \mu$; shield length $75-85 \mu$; shield width $76-78 \mu$ P. apodemi

29. Length penis sheath $24-26 \mu$ ..... 30
Length penis sheath $20-23 \mu$ ..... 31
30. Dorsal shield wider than long, shield length $69 \mu$, shield width $75 \mu$; terminal setae c. $66 \mu$ P. aroalis

- Dorsal shield circular, shield length $65-70 \mu$, shield width $65-73 \mu$; terminal setae 45-55 $\mu$ ..... P. microti

31. Length penis 33-36 $\mu$; lateral shield setae $5-7 \mu$; length femur IV setae $15 \mu$
P. pitimydis

- Length femur IV setae 19-25 $\mu$ ..... 32

32. Length penis $24-30 \mu$; lateral shield setae $4 \mu$; length femur IV setae $19-20 \mu$ ..... P. neerlandicus
Genua I-III seta $6-7 \mu$; genu IV seta $17-20 \mu$; femur IV setae $20-25 \mu$. P. pinetorum
33. Tarsal claws one-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; two setae on
femur IV; rectangular formation of a.m. and genital setae; "talpidae" group ..... 36
Tarsal claws two- or three-pointed ..... 34
34. Tarsal claws two-pointed ..... 35
Tarsal claws three-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III absent; two setae onfemur IV; rectangular formation of a.m. and genital setae; "crocidurini" group . 40
35. Tarsal claws two-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; one seta onfemur IV; ventral part of empodium rounded; linear formation of a.m. and geni-tal setae; "soricini" group38

- Tarsal claws two-pointed; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III present; one seta onfemur IV; ventral part of empodium acute; linear formation of a.m. and genitalsetae; shield length $67-72 \mu$; shield width $50-55 \mu$P. cryptotis

36. Seta trochanter $4 \mu$; shield length c. $75 \mu$; shield width c. $65 \mu$ P. urotrichi- Seta trochanter longer than $8 \mu$; seta genua I-III 3-4 $\mu$; seta tibia $8-12 \mu$37
37. Terminal setae $72-89 \mu$; setae femora I-III $15-20 \mu$; shield length $84-90 \mu$; shieldwidth 75-81 $\mu$P. desmanae

- Terminal setae 51-54 $\mu$; setae femora I-III 25-28 $\mu$; shield length 72-74 $\mu$; shieldwidth 62-66 $\mu$P. talpae

38. Penis very long 50-51 $\mu$; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III c. $7 \mu$; seta trochanter $3 \mu$
P. squamipes

- Penis shorter than $40 \mu$; dorso-posterior seta of tarsi longer than $11 \mu$; seta ..... 39trochanter longer than $5 \mu$

39. Penis sheath $20-23 \mu$; setae femora I-III $12-16 \mu$; seta femur IV $14-19 \mu$; shieldlength 75-78 $\mu$; shield width $63-70 \mu$P. cinereus

- Penis sheath 11-14 $\mu$; setae femora I-III 20-25 $\mu$; seta femur IV 20-25 $\mu$; shieldlength $70-78 \mu$; shield width $57-62 \mu$P. sorici

40. Shield length $76 \mu$; shield width $56 \mu$; distance between first and second pair oflateral shield setae smaller than distance between second and third pairP. etruscus

- Subequal distances between lateral shield setae ..... 41

41. Shield length 75-84 $\mu$; shield width 64-70 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 12-14$\mu$; trochanter setae 8-9 $\mu$; distance between a.m. setae 12-13 $\mu$P. crocidurae

- $\quad$ Shield length 79-92 $\mu$; shield width 61-73 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae 11-19$\mu$; trochanter setae $3 \mu$; distance between a.m. setae $15-16 \mu$P. doriae
N.B. Males of Psorergates baueri and Psorergates mexicanus are unknown.
Psororbia Fain, 1959
(fig. 27, tables 2-4)
Fain (1959) formed a new genus Psorobia for the single species $P$. ovis with the differentiating character of fused epimera I. Although P. cercopitheci was already described at that time he did not include this species in the new genus, because it did not have fused epimera I. After reexamining paratypes of $P$. ovis Fain (1961) concluded that the fused epimera I were an artifact of the previously examined specimen. He redefined Psorobia and reduced it to a subgeneric status with the new definition: there are 5 pairs of dorsal shield setae, the 4 lateral pairs (sc $i, c, d, e$ ) well measurable

Table 2. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psororbia, females; n.o. = not observed.

|  | bos ( $\mathrm{N}=$ *) min-max | ovis ( $\mathrm{N}=$ *) $\min -\max$ | castoris $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | foinae $\left(N={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | mustelae ( $\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 135-145 | 177-220 | 161-187 | 153-160 | 141-159 |
| body width | 113 | 126-170 | 134-166 | 132-135 | 114-134 |
| shield length | 105 | 153 | 117-129 | 103 | 93-105 |
| shield width | 95 | 142 | 108-120 | 105 |  |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 100 | 140-160 | 113-12 | 120-130 | 100-120 |
| trochanter | n.o. | 20 | 11-18 | 16 | 7-10 |
| femora I-III | 25 | 25 | 11-18 | 20-25 | 18-25 |
| femur IV | 25 | 25 | 15-19 | 20-25 | 20-25 |
| genua I-III | n.o. | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| genu IV | 45 | 60 | 14-21 | 50-60 | 48-61 |
| lateral shield | 12-14 | 15-18 | 5-6 | 6-8 | 5-6 |
| palpal tibial | 28 | 25 | 14-21 | 18-20 | 18-22 |
| gnathosomal | n.o. | 6 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 5-6 |
| ventral | n.o. | 10 | 56 | 5-6 | 5-6 |
| distance between. |  |  |  |  |  |
| no setae femur I-III | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no setae femur IV | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| tibial spine IV | present | present | absent | absent | absent |

Table 3. Ditto: females of the genusPsorobia (continued); * number of measured specimens unknown.

|  | zumpti $(N=*)$ <br> min-max | hystrici ( $\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}$ ) min-max | elephantuli <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) <br> min-max | lagomorphae ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) <br> $\min -$ max | cercopitheci $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 120-138 | 140-150 | 135-149 | 111-131 | 117-132 |
| body width | 105-126 | 120-150 | 108-122 | 107-119 | 100-115 |
| shield length | 105 | 90-100 | 95-105 | 97-101 | 78 |
| shield width | 100 | 90-100 | 82-90 | 93-101 | 84 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 100 | 90 | 105-112 | 99-123 | 60 |
| trochanter | 20 | 12 | 22-24 | 19-26 | 12 |
| femora I-III | 22 | 15-18 | 24-29 | 21-27 | 12-15 |
| femur IV | 30 | 15-18 | 34-46 | 20-30 | 12-15 |
| genua I-III | 5 | 6 | 5-6 | 9-13 | absent |
| genu IV | 60 | 6 | 56-73 | 62-78 | absent |
| lateral shield | 5-6 | 5-6 | 6-8 | 4-5 | 6 |
| palpal tibial | 14-16 | 25-32 | 17-21 | 14-15 | 18 |
| gnathosomal | 5 | 30 | 7-8 | 8-10 | 8 |
| ventral | 5-6 | 5 | 6-7 | 8-11 | 6 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |
| no setae femur I-III | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| no setae femur IV | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| tibial spine IV | absent | present | present | absent | present |

(4-18 $\mu$ ), the antero-median ( $v e$ ) pair short and spine-like; posterior palpal tibial ( $d F$ ) setae serrated and long. Up till now the definition has not changed, but the phylogenetic analysis learns that the genus Psorobia is not a monophyletic group. Clear relationships with unequivocal apomorphic characteristics could not be defined though and therefore I suggest to retain the genus with the definition given by Fain (1961).

Table 4. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorobia, males; * number of measured specimens unknown; n.o. $=$ not observed.

|  | bos $(N=1)$ | ovis $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | castoris <br> ( $\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}$ ) <br> min-max | foinae <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=$ *) <br> min-max | mustelae $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 159 | 153-186 | 168-175 | 144 | 126-147 |
| body width | 127 | 111-135 | 136-144 | 117-120 | 111-122 |
| shield length | 113 | 129 | 125-127 | 30 \& 66-72 | 90-104 |
| shield width | 98 | 120 | 106-110 | 63-71 \& 92-93 | 85-97 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 190 | 150 | 107-127 | 120-130 | 100-125 |
| trochanter | 14 | 16 | 10-13 | 16 | 7-8 |
| femora I-III | 28 | 20 | 16-19 | 20-25 | 18-20 |
| femur IV | 24 | 20 | 16-19 | 20-25 | 16-18 |
| genua I-III | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2-3 |
| genu IV | 50 | 42-53 | 20-24 | 50-60 | 51-63 |
| lateral shield | 16 | 16 | 7 | 6-8 | 5-6 |
| palpal tibial | 27 | 20 | 17-22 | 17 | 18-21 |
| gnathosomal | 14 | 5-6 | 5 | 7 | 5-6 |
| ventral | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 5-6 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 7 | 11 | 10-11 | 7 | 10-16 |
| a.m. setae | 41 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 12-14 |
| genital setae | 7 | 36 | 24-25 | 21 | 19-26 |
| length penis | 47 | 57 | 31-33 | 42 | 42-45 |
| length penis sheath | n.o. | 30 | 19-24 | 26-30 | 30-33 |
| no setae femur I-III | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no setae femur IV | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| tibial spine IV | present | present | absent | absent | absent |

Key to the species (based on females)

1. Two setae present on femur IV. ........................................................................... 2

- One seta present on femur IV. .............................................................................. 7

2. Spine on tibia IV present ........................................................................................ 4

- Spine on tibia IV absent ........................................................................................ 5

3. Tarsal spine bifid .................................................................................................... 4

- Tarsal spine one-pointed; femur IV setae $34-46 \mu$; lateral shield setae $6-8 \mu$............
P. elephantuli

4. Terminal setae $140-160 \mu$; shield length $153 \mu$; shield width $142 \mu$.............. P. ovis

- Terminal setae $100 \mu$; shield length $105 \mu$; shield width $95 \mu$........................P. bos

5. Seta genu IV longer than $45 \mu$ 6

Table 4. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorobia males (continued); ~ = approximately.

|  | zumpti <br> ( $\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}$ ) <br> min-max | hystrici ( $\mathrm{N}=$ *) min-max | elephantuli $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ | cercopitheci ( $\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 117-126 | 118-132 | 132 | 105-125 |
| body width | 105-110 | 110-122 | 95 | 90-107 |
| shield length | 95 | 90-98 | 95 | 66 |
| shield width | 95 | 88-90 | 83 | 78 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 120 | 60 | 123 | 50 |
| trochanter | 12-14 | n.o. | 22 | 8 |
| femora I-III | 18-20 | n.o. | 23 | 9-10 |
| femur IV | 27-30 | n.o. | 37 | 9 |
| genua I-III | 4-6 | n.0. | 5 | absent |
| genu IV | 50-60 | n.o. | 55 | absent |
| lateral shield | 5-6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| palpal tibial | 18 | 25 | 18 | 15 |
| gnathosomal | 5 | 25 | 8 | 7 |
| ventral | 5 | n.o. | 6 | 5 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 12 | n.o. | 12 | 13 |
| a.m. setae | 11 | n.0. | 16 | 17 |
| genital setae | 23 | n.o. | 23 | 5 |
| length penis | 35 | $\sim 47$ | 83 | 24 |
| length penis sheath | 30 | $\sim 42$ | 23 | 10 |
| no setae femur I-III | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| no setae femur IV tibial spine IV | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

- Seta genu IV 14-21 $\mu$ P. castoris

6. Trochanter seta c. $16 \mu$; distance between ventral setae $c .12 \mu$ ..... P. foinae

- Trochanter seta 7-10 $\mu$; distance between ventral setae $15-21 \mu$ ..... P. mustelae

7. Two setae present on femora I-III ..... 9

- One seta present on femora I-III ..... 8

8. Tibial spine present; genual setae absent ..... P. cercopitheci

- Tibial spine absent; genual setae I-III 9-13 $\mu$; genu IV seta $62-78 \mu$
P. lagomorphae

9. Tibial spine on leg IV absent; genual seta IV very long c. $60 \mu$ P. zumpti

- Tibial spine on leg IV present; genual seta IV short c. $6 \mu$ ..... P. hystrici
Key to the species (based on males)

1. Two setae present on femur IV ..... 2

- One seta present on femur IV ..... 7

2. Spine on tibia IV present ..... 3

- Spine on tibia IV absent ..... 5

3. Tarsal spines bifid ..... 4

- Tarsal spines one-pointed; shield length $95 \mu$; shield width $83 \mu$; length penis 83
$\mu$; length penis sheath $23 \mu$........................................................ Pelephantuli

4. Terminal setae c. $150 \mu$; shield length c. $129 \mu$; shield width c. $120 \mu$.......... P. ovis

- Terminal setae at least $190 \mu$; shield length $c .113 \mu$; shield width $c .98 \mu$..... P. bos

5. Penis length $31-33 \mu$; seta genu IV $20-24 \mu$............................................ P. castoris

- Penis length more than $35 \mu$; seta genu IV longer than $50 \mu$.................................. 6

6. Two dorsal shields; distance between ventral setae $\mathrm{c} .7 \mu$........................ P. foinae

- One dorsal shield; distance between ventral setae $10-16 \mu$.................. P. mustelae

7. Two setae present on femora I-III ......................................................................... 8

- One seta present on femora I-III; tibial spine present on leg IV ..... P. cercopitheci

8. Tibial spine on leg IV present; terminal setae c. $60 \mu$; gnathosomal setae c. $25 \mu$.....
.P. hystrici

- Tibial spine on leg IV absent; terminal setae c. $120 \mu$; gnathosomal setae c. $5 \mu$......
P. zumpti
N.B. The male of Psorobia lagomorphae is unknown.

Psorergatoides Fain, 1959
(tables 5, 6)
The genus Psorergatoides was first described as a subgenus by Fain (1959) defined by the very short (point-like) dorsal shield setae. The female having four pairs of lateral shield setae (sc $i, c, d, e$ ) and one pair of antero-median ( $v e$ ) setae, and the male having four pairs of lateral shield setae (sc i, $c, d, e$ ), a pair of antero-median ( $v e$ ) setae and a pair of genital ( $p s$ ) setae. The genus was redefined by Fain (1961) with the same characteristics described above and the additional character of having serrated posterior palpal tibial (dF) setae. This monophyletic genus is still defined by the same characteristics. Phylogenetic relationships of the many lower taxa are equivocal and therefore no attempt is made to formate groups as has been done with the genus Psorergates.

## Key to the species (based on females)

1. Two setae on femora I-III, one seta of femur IV present ......................................... 2

- One seta on all femora ........................................................................................ 5

2. Terminal setae longer than $75 \mu$........................................................................... 3

- Terminal setae shorter than $50 \mu$......................................................................... 4

3. Shield length $105-112 \mu$; shield width $100-110 \mu$; setae femora I-III $20-27 \mu$, seta genu IV 17-29 $\mu$............................................................................ P. australiensis

- Shield length $130 \mu$; shield width $126 \mu$; setae femora I-III 15-20 $\mu$; seta genu IV 15-18 $\mu$
P. kerivoulae

4. Setae femora I-III $4-6 \mu$; seta genu IV $1-2 \mu$; shield length $135 \mu$; shield width $130 \mu$ P. nycteris

- Setae femora I-III 13-18 $\mu$; seta genu IV 19-23 $\mu$; shield length 75-81 $\mu$; shield width $70-79 \mu$
P. tadaridae

5. Ventral setae absent ..... 6

- Ventral setae present ..... 8

6. Tarsal claws two-pointed, seta femora I-III shorter than $5 \mu$ ..... 7

- Tarsal claws one-pointed; seta femora I-III c. $10 \mu$ P. emballonurae

7. Terminal setae $5 \mu$; shield length $112 \mu$; shield width $94 \mu$ P. indicicola

- Terminal setae $20-39 \mu$; shield length $76-88 \mu$; shield width $70-83 \mu$ P. peropteryx

8. Seta femora I-III 1-12 $\mu$ ..... 9

- Seta femora I-III 12-20 $\mu$ ..... 14

9. Terminal setae longer than $55 \mu$ ..... 10
Terminal setae shorter than $45 \mu$ ..... 11
10. Tarsal claws two-pointed; shield length $104 \mu$; shield width $99 \mu$. ......... P. artibei

- Tarsal claws one-pointed; shield length $94 \mu$; shield width $85 \mu$ P. guyanensis

11. Seta femora I-III $12 \mu$; shield length $118 \mu$; shield width $103 \mu$.......P. glossophagae

- Seta femora I-III 1-4 $\mu$; shield length less than $100 \mu$; shield width less than $95 \mu$. ..... 12

12. Shield length $80 \mu$; shield width $80 \mu$; terminal setae $32-40 \mu$ ..... P. molossi

- Shield length 88-94 $\mu$; shield width 77-90 $\mu$; terminal setae $20-30 \mu$ ..... 13

13. Body length $102-118 \mu$; shield width $78 \mu$; genual setae present $1-2 \mu$; gnathoso- mal setae $2 \mu$ P. lonchorhina

- Body length 132-146 $\mu$; shield width 77-90 $\mu$; genual setae absent; gnathosomal setae 5-6 $\mu$ P. surinamensis

14. Tarsal spine one-pointed; shield length $84 \mu$; shield width $85 \mu$.. P. hipposideros- Tarsal spine bifid; shield length and shield width different15
15. Genual seta IV $17 \mu$; seta femora I-III $20 \mu$; palpal tibial seta $15 \mu$ P. desmodus Genual seta IV less than $5 \mu$; seta femora I-III 12-15 $\mu$; palpal tibial setae $20-21 \mu \ldots$ ..... 16
16. Genual seta I-IV absent; shield length $79 \mu$; shield width $72 \mu$ P. rhinolophi

- Genual seta I-IV c. $4 \mu$; shield length $120 \mu$; shield width $116 \mu$ P. laviae
Key to the species (based of males)

1. Two setae on femora I-III, one seta on femur IV present ..... 2

- One seta on all femora present ..... 4

2. Shield length $69-74 \mu$; shield width $59-65 \mu$; palpal tibial seta $6-8 \mu$.. P. tadaridae

- Shield length more than $85 \mu$; shield width more than $80 \mu$; palpal tibial seta 12-14$\mu$.3

3. Shield length $90-102 \mu$; shield width $84-98 \mu$; genu IV seta $16-18 \mu$ P. australiensis Shield length c. $120 \mu$; shield width c. $90 \mu$; genu IV seta $8-9 \mu$ ..... P. kerivoulae
4. Ventral setae absent ..... 5
Ventral setae present ..... 7
5. Tarsal claws one-pointed; distance between a.m. setae $11 \mu$; distance between genital setae $11 \mu$ P. emballonurae

- Tarsal claws bifid; distance between a.m. setae 2-3 $\mu$ ..... 6

6. Shield length $103 \mu$; penis sheath $18-22 \mu$; terminal setae $5-10 \mu$........ P. indicicola

- Shield length 72-81 $\mu$; penis sheath $10 \mu$; terminal setae $18-34 \mu$ ..... P. peropteryx

7. Terminal setae absent ..... 8

- Terminal setae present ..... 12

8. Tarsal claws bifid; shield length $97 \mu$; shield width $83 \mu$; distance between ventral setae $15-35 \mu$ ..... P. artibei

- Tarsal claws one-pointed ..... 9

9. Dorsal shield length and width c. $69 \mu$ ..... 10

- Shield length and shield width different, more ovally shaped ..... 11

10. Distance between ventral setae $12 \mu$; distance between genital setae $5 \mu$
P. molossi

- Distance between ventral setae c. $5 \mu$; distance between genital setae 9-10 $\mu$

$\qquad$
P. lonchorhina
11. Body length $156-179 \mu$; body width $117-140 \mu$; shield length $101 \mu$; shield width 79P. glossophagae

- Body length c. $121 \mu$; body width $94-98 \mu$; shield length $74-76 \mu$; shield width 67 -$68 \mu$P. surinamensis

12. Tarsal spine bifid ..... 13

- Tarsal spine one-pointed; distance between a.m. setae 6-7 $\mu$; length penis $42 \mu$
P. hipposideros

13. Distance between genital setae $14-15 \mu$; body width $81-86 \mu$ P. rhinolophi Distance between genital setae $8 \mu$; body width $85-105 \mu$ P. desmodus
N.B. The males of P. guyanensis, P. nycteris and P. laviae are unknown.

## Pathology

Three types of infections can be observed when a host is parasitized by psorergatic mites (Lukoschus, 1967). Some species live between the stratum lucidum and stratum corneum, mostly in the inner ear concho. The mites are nestled in shallow pits of the epidermis in the shape of the mites (see also Giesen et al., 1989). Beneath the parasites the stratum granulosum lacks the nuclei, or they are sickle-shaped towards the epidermis surface. The affected cells show at the side of the mite a larger vacuole, an effect of the sucking of the psorergatic mite after piercing the cell wall with its stylet-shaped movable digits of the chelicerae. At the sides of the mite's nestling a clear outgrowing of the stratum germanitivum is visible. The epidermis at infected places is thicker than normal. Between the stratum germanitivum and the stratum granulosum another one to three cell layers are visible due to cell divisions of the stratum germanitivum. Furthermore the stratum corneum is more than twice as thick (hyperkerastosis). Females without eggs mostly nestle in shallow pits of the epidermis (see also Downing \& Mort (1962), Murray (1961), Carter (1941) and Sheldon (1966)). Females with eggs lie in very superficial pits of the epidermis. Here mostly the stratum corneum is loosened in a greater area of the epidermis. The females wander around and deposit their eggs on the surface of the skin under the fine scurf.

A second kind of infection is found at the tibia of the legs of the hosts, where the hair implantation is scarce. This same type of infection is also found around the genital region of hosts where hair implantation is also scarce. The mites are nestled in pits of the epidermis. The pathological phenomena beneath the mites are the same as
described above, but the stratum corneum shows a much stronger hyperkerastosis. The connective tissue sidewards of the mites is hypertrophying. In this case the egg laying female is staying in one place (pit) of the epidermis, and all the descendants are staying too. So the infection is much more rigorous, and morbid growth of the epidermis is shown.

The third kind of infection concerns hair follicles in regions of the femur of the legs of hosts, where the hair implantation is thicker. The mites nestle in pits in the hair follicle in the hair root sheath. They feed on the nuclei of the root sheath and cause hypertrophy of the cells between the different parasites in the hair follicles. The nuclei of the root sheath are strongly enlarged and strongly increased in number. The hair follicles which are parasitized show strong hyperkerastosis. In one hair follicle mostly more females with eggs are present. In strong infections the root sheath is often disrupted to neighbouring infested hair follicles thus forming big cysts, which enclose more hairs.

## Phylogenetic relationships of the species groups of the family Psorergatidae

## Character state polarities

1. Number of lateral shield setae. The genera Pscrobia and Psorergatoides exhibit 4 pairs of lateral shield setae ( $s c i, c, d, e$ ). The genus Psorergates shows 3 pairs of setae on the dorsal shield (sc i,c,d). Comparison with the outgroup learns that 4 pairs of lateral shield setae as in the first two genera mentioned should be considered the ancestral state. 1a. Four pairs of lateral shield setae present; 2 a . Three pairs of lateral shield setae present.
2. Length of lateral shield setae. In the genus Psorergatoides the lateral shield setae are very reduced. Only point-like setae remain, and sometimes even these are reduced leaving the alveoli as the indicators of setae. The genera Psorobia and Psorergates always have good measurable setae on the dorsal shield, ranging from a little longer than $2 \mu$ to well over $30 \mu$. The totally reduced setae of the genus Psorergatoides are considered apomorphic. 2 a . Lateral shield setae longer than $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ b. Lateral shield setae point-like, or sometimes totally absent.
3. Form of palpal tibial setae ( $d F$ ). In the outgroup and the genera Psorobia and Psorergatoides the palpal tibial setae are serrated. In the genus Psorergates these setae are smooth. The latter state is considered to be the derived one. 3 a. Palpal tibial setae serrated. 3 b. Palpal tibial setae smooth.
4. Sexual dimorphism in the palpal tibial setae ( $d F$ ). The only species of the family which show sexual dimorphism in the palpal tibial setae are the "dissimilis" group of the genus Psorergates and Psorergates musculinus. This last species is considered to be the sistergroup of the "dissimilis" group according to Giesen \& OConnor (1987) with the synapomorphy of this very character state. No sexual dimorphism of the palpal tibial setae is considered to be the ancestral state. 4 a . No sexual dimorphism of the palpal tibial setae. 4 b . Sexual dimorphism of palpal tibial setae present.
5. Distance between ventral setae (ic III). Three different character states can be distinguished. The ventral setae can be very close-set (less than $3 \mu$ apart) as in the species Psorergates musculinus, they can be further apart over a broad range between 3 and $25 \mu$ as in most species of the family, and they can be very wide-set (over $30 \mu$
apart) as in the "dissimilis" group of the genus Psorergates. The median range of distances between the ventral setae is considered to be ancestral. The other states are both apomorphic. 5 a . Distance between ventral setae ranging from 3 to $25 \mu$. 5 b. Distance between ventral setae less than $3 \mu$. 5 c . Distance between ventral setae more than $30 \mu$.
6. Length palpal tibial setae ( $d F$ ). Besides being smooth or serrated the palpal tibial setae exhibit different lengths and strengths. Some setae are very short (less than 6 $\mu$ ), and truncate. This character state is shown by the "muricola" and "insectivora" groups of the genus Psorergates. Others are of a median range between 7 and $25 \mu$ and show the same truncate form as the short and stout palpal tibial setae. Lastly these setae can be very long (more than $25 \mu$ ) and filiform as in Psorobia bos, Psorobia hystrici, the "dissimilis" group (females) of the genus Psorergates, and Psorergates musculinus. The outgroup exhibits truncate, medium sized, truncate palpal tibial setae $(d F)$. Therefore the medium-sized palpal tibial setae is considered to be the ancestral state and the other two states to be derived. 6 a. Length palpal tibial setae between 7 and $25 \mu .6 \mathrm{~b}$. Length palpal tibial setae less than $6 \mu$. 6 c. Length palpal tibial setae more than $25 \mu$.
7. Ventral setae (ic III). In three species of the genus Psorergatoides (P. peropteryx, P. emballonurae, P. indicicola) the ventral setae are absent. In all other species of the family these setae are present, which is considered to be ancestral. 7 a . Ventral setae present. 7 b . Ventral setae absent.
8. Sclerotized genital ducts. These ducts are present in the "apodemi" and "muricola" groups of the genus Psorergates. All other species of the family and the outgroup do not possess these ducts. The presence of these ducts is considered to be the derived state. 8 a . Sclerotized genital ducts absent. 8 b . Sclerotized genital ducts present.
9. Sclerotized genital atrium. An unsclerotized atrium is present in all species of the family except in the species of the "gliricola" group of the genus Psorergates and the species Psorergates glaucomys and P. paraxeri of the "sciuricola" group. The unsclerotized atrium is considered to be ancestral. 9 a. Unsclerotized genital atrium. 9 b . Sclerotized genital atrium.
10. Shape dorsal shield. In some species and species groups of the family the dorsal shield is longer than wide (oval) as in the genus Psorergates the "gliricola" group, P. musculinus, P. alleni, P. peromysci, and the "insectivora" group. In the genus Psorobia the species P. bos, P. ovis, P. elephantuli have a longer than wide shield. All other species of the family have a circular or wider than long shield. The character state polarity of this character could not be determined. Also the not very coherent distribution of this character among the species of the family makes it a less valuable character. Although it could be used for species and species group definition the shape of the dorsal shield only contributes to the formation of a number of paraphyletic or polyphyletic groups. This character is mentioned here in order to be as elaborate as possible in the character analysis, but will not be used in the analyses themselfs. 10 a. Dorsal shield longer than wide. 10 b . Dorsal shield wider than long, or circular.
11. Relative position of antero-median ( $v e$ ) and genital ( $p \mathrm{~s}$ ) setae in males. Within the genus Psorergates this character has been used to diagnose some groups. This has been done for the "apodemi", "muricola", and "dissimilis" groups by Lukoschus et al. (1967) and for the "insectivora" group by Giesen \& Lukoschus (1983). In the "dissim-
ilis", "muricola", "gliricola" groups, some species of the "insectivora" group, and $P$. musculinus the distance between the genital and the antero-median setae differs at the most a factor 1.7. In the "apodemi" and "sciuricola" groups, two subgroups of the "insectivora" group, and in P. tupaiae and P. ramai the distances between these setae differ at least 1.8. Psorobia cercopitheci and Psorobia castoris show a ratio smaller than 1.7 for the distances between these setae. In the other species of this genus the distances between these setae differ at least 1.8. All species of the genus Psorergatoides except $P$. surinamensis, P. tadaridae and P. indicicola have distances between the a.m. and genital setae which differ at the most 1.7. 11a. Ratio between distance of anteromedian and distance of genital setae more than 1.8. 11b. Ratio between distance of antero-median and distance of genital setae less than 1.7.
12. Length of genual ( $v^{\prime \prime}$ ) setae. The genual setae can exhibit two different states. The setae of all four genua can be subequal in length as in the "muricola" group, $P$. paraxeri, P. glaucomys, P. tupaiae and P. ramai of the genus Psorergates; Psorobia hystrici and Psorobia cercophitheci, and in the genus Psorergatoides P. peropteryx, P. nycteris, P. hipposideros, P. glossophagae, P. lonchorhina, P. molossi, P. emballonurae and P. laviae. The other state shows a genual seta IV which is much longer than the genual seta on legs I-III. The latter is the case in all other species of the family, and is considered to be the ancestral state. 12a. Genual seta of leg IV much longer than setae of genua I-III. 12b. Genual setae of legs I-IV subequal.
13. Number of setae on femora I-IV. It is hypothesized that ancestrally two setae ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}$ ") are present on all femora. The species of the genus Psorergatoides show only one seta on femur IV, and all species except P. australiensis, P. kerivoulae, P. tadaridae and $P$. nycteris also have only one seta on femora I-III. A number of species of the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorergates, and Psorobia zumpti, P. hystrici, P. cercopitheci and P. lagomorphae also have only one seta on femur IV. More-over Psorobia lagomorphae and Psorobia cercophitheci have one seta on femora I-III. 13a. Two setae present on all femora.13b. Two setae present on femora I-III and one seta on femur IV. 13c. One seta present on all femora.
14. Loss of genual setae ( $v^{\prime \prime}$ ). Psorobia cercopitheci has lost the genual seta on legs IIV. In the genus Psorergatoides the species P. surinamensis, P. indicicola and P. rhinolophi the genual setae are also absent. In all other species of the family these setae are present. The latter state is considered to be ancestral. 14a. Genual setae I-IV present. 14 b. Genual setae I-IV absent.
15. Tibial spine IV $\left(l^{\prime}\right)$. In most species of the genus Psorergates this spine is absent, except in the species of the "sciuricola" group and P. tupaiae and P. ramai. This spine is present in all species of the genus Psorergatoides and in a number of species of the genus Psorobia: P. bos, P. ovis, P. hystrici, P. cercopitheci and P. elephantuli exhibit a tibial spine IV. The absence of the tibial spine IV is the derived character state. 15a. Tibial spine IV present. 15b. Tibial spine IV absent.
16. Shape of tarsal spines ( $v^{\prime}$ ). Spines are hypothesized to be derived from normal filiform setae. A further derivation of the setal shape could be imagined in the form of different shapes of the reduced setae. In the family Psorergatidae the spines of the tarsi normally are one-pointed and simple. Sometimes however a bifid spine is seen. This is the case in two of the three species of "sciuricola" group of the genus Psorergates, and in most species of the genus Psorergatoides except in P. hipposideros, P. indicicola and P. peropteryx. 16a. Tarsal spine simple, one-pointed. 16b. Tarsal spine bifid.
17. Shape of empodium. In the family Psorergatidae the empodium consists of two lobes. These lobes are semi-circular and inserted between the claws on the praetarsi. The bigger, ventral lobe of this empodium exhibits a different shape in a number of species in the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorergates. The ventral part of the empodium is pointed in the species P. doriae, P. etruscus, P. cryptotis, P. baueri, and P. mexicanus. 17a. Shape of empodium rounded. 17 b . Shape of empodium acute.
18. Shape of tarsal claws. In the outgroup and in most species of the family the tarsal claws are one-pointed. In some species of the "insectivora" group namely $P$. cryptotis, P. baueri, P. mexicanus, P. sorici, P. cinereus, P. squamipes, Psorobia castoris, Psorergatoides artibei, Psorergatoides indicicola and Psorergatoides peropteryx the tarsal claws are two-pointed. In the species P. crocidurae, P. doriae and P. etruscus of the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorergates even three points are present. The evolutionary sequence is hypothesized to be one-pointed, two-pointed, three-pointed. 18a. Tarsal claws one-pointed. 18b. Tarsal claws two-pointed. 18c. Tarsal claws threepointed.
19. Terminal setae ( $h 1, h 2$ ) in females. Normally the terminal setae are subequal in the species of the family. There is one exception for this: Psorobia lagomorphae shows strongly unequal $h 1$ and $h 2$ setae, in which the $h 1$ seta is much shorter and thinner than the $h 2$ seta. The strongly unequal terminal setae are considered to be derived. 19a. Terminal setae subequal. 19b. Terminal setae strongly differing in length and strength.
20. Relative length of femoral setae. In the species of Psorergatidae which possess two femoral setae on at least legs I-III a general reduction can be seen in the length of distal seta ( $v^{\prime \prime}$ ). It is hypothesized that the subequal length of the femoral setae is ancestral. The first step is visible in the reduction of the distal setae to two-thirds or half the length of the proximal seta. Next a further reduction occurs to a spine-like seta of as in the species Psorergates tupaiae and Psorergates ramai. Finally the distal seta is totally reduced and only one seta is left on the femora (see character 13). A partly reduction of the distal seta occurs in the "dissimilis" group of the genus Psorergates, Psorergates paraxeri, most species of the "insectivora" group, and all species of the genus Psorergatoides with two setae on femora I-III. 20a. Femoral setae subequal. 20b. Femoral setae unequal, the distal seta being half to two-thirds the length of the distal seta. 20c. Distal seta reduced to spine-like seta.
21. Shape tibial spines ( $l^{\prime}$ ). Like the tarsal spines the tibial spines can exhibit different morphologies. Normally a single pointed spine is present, but in one species this spine is transformed and now has a two-pointed shape. This is the case in Psorobia bos. From a number of species no good descriptive material is present, or I did not have the possibility to examine material myself. For these species no definite answers could be given of course and character state decisions remain open. 21a. Tibial spines one-pointed. 21b. Tibial spines two-pointed.
22. Dorso-anterior seta ( $t c^{\prime}$ ) of tarsi I-IV. Normally the two apical setae of the tarsi ( $t c^{\prime}, t c^{\prime \prime}$ ) are subequal in length. In the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorergates however these two setae are strongly differing in length. For all these species applies that the dorso-anterior seta is reduced to a length of 1 to $5 \mu$. The dorso-posterior ( $t c^{\prime \prime}$ ) seta can be normally developed or absent. The subequal length of the tarsal setae is considered to be the ancestral state. 22a. Dorso-anterior and dorso-posterior seta of tarsi subequal; dorso-anterior seta at least $5 \mu$ long. 22b. Dorso-anterior seta
reduced to a length of $1-5 \mu$.
23. Dorso-posterior ( $t c^{\prime \prime}$ ) seta of tarsi I-III. As already mentioned above the dorsoposterior and dorso-anterior setae of the tarsi are normally subequal. The dorso-posterior seta is absent in one subgroup of the "insectivora" group of the genus Psorer$g^{\text {gates. This applies to the species } P \text {. crocidurae, P. doriae and P. etruscus. In all other }}$ species of the family this seta is normally developed. The latter state is considered to be ancestral. 23a. Dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III normally developed. 23b. Dorsoposterior seta of tarsi I-III absent.
24. Length of femoral seta (v). As described in character 20 the distal seta of the femora shows a reduction in length and finally is totally absent. This trend in reduction of leg and body setae pursues in the proximal seta of femora I-IV. After total reduction of the distal seta a reduction in length of the proximal seta follows in a number of species of the genus Psorergatoides. The species P. surinamensis, P. lonchorhina, P. molossi, P. indicicola, and P. peropteryx show a femoral seta which is shorter than $5 \mu$. Setae of a length more than $5 \mu$ are considered to be ancestral. 24a. Femoral setae longer than $5 \mu .24 \mathrm{~b}$. Femoral setae less than $5 \mu$.
25. Terminal setae ( $h$ ) in males. The outgroup as well as most of the species of the family show at least two h setae. These setae are absent in one species of Psorergates, P. oettlei, and in a number of species of the genus Psorergatoides, P. australiensis, P. kerivoulae, P. tadaridae, P. surinamensis, P. lonchorhina and P. molossi. The presence of terminal setae is considered to be ancestral. 25a. Terminal setae present in males. 25 b . Terminal setae absent in males.
26. Length terminal setae ( $h 1, h 2$ ) in females. Normally the terminal setae are very well developed and relatively very long. In a few species of $P$ sorergatoides these setae are reduced to a length shorter than $40 \mu$. This is the case in P. nycteris, P. glossophagae, P. surinamensis, P. lonchorhina, P. molossi, P. emballonurae, P. indicicola, and P. peropteryx. The reduction of the terminal setae is considered to be derived. $26 a$. Terminal setae longer than $40 \mu$. 26b. Terminal setae shorter than $40 \mu$.
27. Gnathosomal setae (ep). In all species except the "sciuricola" group of the genus Psorergates the gnathosomal setae are two-segmented. In the "sciuricola" group these setae are one-segmented, bilobed and enfolded by a pouch-like structure. The latter structure is considered to be derived. 27a. Gnathosomal setae two-segmented. 27 b . Gnathosomal setae one-segmented, undivided.

Character analysis
The data for 35 different species and species groups (OTU's) were analyzed using the computer program Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP) version 2.4 for IBM-PC and compatibles developed by David L. Swofford. The analysis yielded one tree (fig. 23) with a length of 68 character state changes and a consistency index of 0.457 . First I elucidate the tree generated by the PAUP program. Then I discuss some different arrangements with somewhat different hypotheses and abandoning the principle of parsimony.

Most of the characters and character states mentioned in the chapter about character state polarities are not recognizable in this form in any outgroup. An apomorphy for the entire family Psorergatidae is given by Moss et al. (in prep.). For polarity decissions and rooting of the trees a hypothetical ancestor is chosen with all characters in a plesiomorph condition. Here the first difficulty occurs, because one of the
species of the ingroup, Psorobia elephantuli, shows all characters in the hypothesized plesiomorphic condition too. It could be hypothesized that P. elephantuli is the ancestor of the family Psorergatidae (Wiley, 1981: 222-225). I could not find more usefull morphological characters to include in this analysis, but maybe ecological, genetic, physiological or geographical characters should be included too in inferring phylogenetic relationships of this family.The tree (fig. 23) shows that the genus Psorergates is monophyletic, the genus Psorobia clearly paraphyletic, and the genus Psorergatoides probably monophyletic. I will resume to latter genus in my discussion about different configurations of the tree found by the PAUP program.

Descending from the hypothetical ancestor are three groups. These sistergroups are the monophyletic Psorobia elephantuli with no apomorphic characters as already mentioned above; the also monophyletic species Psorobia bos and P. ovis with the apomorphies of having very long (more than $25 \mu$ ) palpal tibial setae, the ratio between distance of antero-median and distance of genital setae more than 1.8, and tibial spines two-pointed; and the last group consisting of all other species of the family with the apomorphy of lacking tibial spine IV. Within this last group the monophyletic genus Psorergates, characterized by the presence of three lateral shield setae and smooth palpal tibial setae, is the sistergroup of the genus Psorergatoides and all species of the genus Psorobia, except the three species mentioned above. The latter group is characterized by the presence of a circular or wider than long dorsal shield. The genus Psorergates falls into four groups with unclear relationships. The first of these four sistergroups, enclosing P. musculinus and the "dissimilis" group, is characterized by a sexual dimorphism of the palpal tibial setae, and very long palpal tibial setae in females. Within this group the "dissimilis" group with the apomorphic characters of the distance between the ventral setae more than $30 \mu$, a circular or wider than long dorsal shield and partly reduced proximal setae on femora I-IV, is the sistergroup of P. musculinus with ventral setae which are very close-set (distance less than $3 \mu$ ). The "dissimilis" group consists of eight species all with minor meristic differences. The second group of the genus Psorergates contains the species of the "gliricola" group with three species and the shared apomorphy of the presence of a sclerotized atrium. The third group is the "insectivora" group with members parasitizing Insectivora hosts. This group has palpal tibial setae which are shorter than $6 \mu$, the proximal seta on femora I-IV is two-thirds to half the length of the distal seta and the dorso-anterior seta of tarsi I-IV is less than $5 \mu$ long. Within this group the species $P$. desmanae, P. talpae and P. urotrichi, all parasitizing hosts of the family Talpidae, are not characterized by any apomorphies. Latter species group is the sistergroup of the rest of the "insectivora" group, which shows the apomorphy of two- or three-pointed claws contrary to the single-pointed claws of the first group. Within this group the species P. doriae, P. crocidurae and P. etruscus from hosts of the Crocidurini (Soricidae) with the characteristics of an acute shaped ventral part of the empodia, three-pointed tarsal claws and the dorso-posterior seta of tarsi I-III absent are the sistergroup of two species groups from Blarinini and Soricini/Anourosoricini (Soricidae). The sister group of the "crocidurini" group has only one seta present on femur IV and the ratio of the distances between antero-median and genital setae in males is more than 1.8. Within this group the Psorergates species parasitizing Blarinini hosts with the apomorphy acute empodium is the sistergroup of the species group with P. sorici, P. cinereus and $P$. squamipes from Soricini/Anourosoricini hosts with the reversal of the
length of the proximal seta of femoral setae to a subequal length with the distal seta. The fourth group of the genus Psorergates consists of the "gliridae" group, the species parasitizing Tupaiidae, the "muricola" group, the "apodemi" group and the species $P$. alleni and P. peromysci showing the presence of sclerotized ducts as a derived character. Within latter group the species P. alleni and P. peromysci are the sister group of all the others with a circular shield as distinghuishing character. The sistergroup of these two species exhibits the characteristic of having a ratio between the distances of antero-median and genital setae in males being more than 1.8. Within latter group the "muricola" group with short palpal tibial setae and subequal short genual setae on legs I-IV is the sistergroup of the "apodemi" group, "sciuricola" group and the species from tupaiid hosts characterized by a ratio more than 1.8 of antero-median and genital setae. The "apodemi" group with no apomorphies is the sistergroup of the "sciuricola" group and P. tupaiae and P. ramai exhibiting reversals of sclerotized genital ducts in females (no such ducts visible), and the tibial spine on legs IV. Within latter group P. dremomydis is the sistergroup of P. paraxeri / P. glaucomys and P. tupaiae / $P$. ramai with undivided gnathosomal setae as apomorphy. The latter two groups are characterized by subequal genital setae on legs I-IV, and a reduction of the proximal femoral setae. This reduction of the proximal femoral setae is even more pronounced in the species from Tupaiidae where this seta is reduced to a short, strong spine. This and the short palpal tibial setae (less than $6 \mu$ ) are the apomorphies of $P$. tupaiae and P. ramai. Their sistergroup are the species P. paraxeri and P. glaucomys with a sclerotized genital atrium present, two-pointed tarsal spines and an undivided gnathosomal seta.

The sistergroup of the genus Psorergates is characterized by a circular or wider than long dorsal shield. Within this group the species group infesting Carnivora (Psorobia foinae and P. mustelae), exhibiting no apomorphic characteristics, is the sistergroup of all other species, showing a reduction of the proximal femoral setae to two-thirds or half the length of the distal setae. In this latter group Psorobia castoris with the derived character of having two-pointed tarsal claws is the sistergroup of the clade characterized by two setae on femora I-III and one seta on femur IV. At this point the tree shows another multifurcation. The clade splices in three sistergroups; one containing Psorobia zumpti with no derived character states; one containing Psorobia lagomorphae with one seta on all femora, and strongly unequal terminal setae in females; the third group consists of the genus Psorergatoides, P. hystrici and P. cercophitheci with the apomorphies subequal, short genual setae, and the reversal of tibial spine IV, which is present in these species. Sistergroup of the genus Psorergatoides with $P$. cercopitheci is $P$. hystrici characterized by very long palpal tibial setae (more than $25 \mu$ ). The genus Psorergatoides (with P. cercopitheci) is characterized by the reduction of the lateral shield setae to point-like structures, and one seta on all femora. Psorergatoides hipposideros is the sistergroup of the rest of the genus and shows no derived character states. The sistergroup of P. hipposideros has two-pointed tarsal spines. Latter group shows a multifurcation of four branches. The first branch with Psorobia cercopitheci and Psorergatoides rhinolophi is characterized by the total absence of genual setae; the latter species and sistergroup of $P$. cercophitheci cannot be distinghuished by any apomorphy, the Psorobia species has a reversal in character 2, which means that four pairs of more than $2 \mu$ long, lateral shield setae are visible. The second branch of the multifurcation is also characterized by a reversal. The ratio
of the antero-median and genital setae is less than 1.7 in this group of six species. $P$. desmodus and $P$. guyanensis are forming a group with no apomorphies. The sistergroup of latter group has males in which the terminal setae are absent. P. artibei and the three species group P. australiensis, P. kerivoulae and P. tadaridae are sistergroups. The latter characterized by a reversal of the second seta on femora I-III, and the first by the presence of two-pointed claws. The third branch of the multifurcation is characterized by a reduction of the terminal setae in females (shorter than $40 \mu$ ) and contains two bigger sistergroups. One group with males having lost their terminal setae, and one group without ventral setae. The sistergroup with reduction of the male terminal setae gives rise to three groups; P. glossophagae without apomorphies, P. nycteris with a reversal of the second seta on femora I-III, and another species group characterized by very short (less than $4 \mu$ ) setae on all femora. Within latter group $P$. surinamensis, without genual setae, is the sistergroup of P. lonchorhina and P. molossi, exhibiting no apomorphies. The sistergroup of above described group of five species is characterized by the absence of ventral setae as mentioned before. Within this group of three species the sistergroup of P. emballonurae, without derived characters, is $P$. indicicola and $P$. peropteryx with a reversal of the tarsal spine from two-pointed to one-pointed, with tarsal claws two-pointed and with very short femoral setae (less than $4 \mu$ ). P. peropteryx, also without apomorphic characters, is the sistergroup of $P$. indicicola with absent genual setae I-IV. The fourth branch of the multifurcation is formed by the single species $P$. laviae and shows no apomorphies.

The complete tree shows only 12 unique characters (out of 27 used characters) assigned to 6 higher order taxa and 6 terminal taxa. Also 7 characters showing reversals in 5 higher order taxa and 4 terminal taxa are found. Altogether this yields a highly unsatisfactory tree with no clear groupings of genera (except the genus Psorergates) and within genera. Because of the strongly reductive trends in the evolution of characters of these parasites a strong homoplasy is inevitable. A consensus tree would show a multifurcation of about as many branches as there are terminal taxa, and any hypothesis about possible phylogenetic relationships and co-evolutionary patterns would be impossible. I would like to show that remarkably better results can be accomplished by hypothesizing that no reversals occur. I do not want to adhere to Dollo's rule, but in this case, concerning parasites of the family Psorergatidae, this rule might indeed be applicable. Trying to avoid this issue I can also argue that character weighting can be advocated (Wheeler, 1986). By simply giving extra weight to the reversed characters the same results appear.

## Phylogenetic relationships of the genus Psorergatoides

To examplify my hypothesis about character weighting (or Dollo's rule) I would first like to reexamine the relationships of the genus Psorergatoides. The original tree shows this genus with the taxon Psorobia cercopitheci as the sistergroup of $P$. rhinolophi. Using the algorithm suggested by Farris (1974) for distinghuishing paraphyletic groups it appears that the genus Psorergatoides is paraphyletic, because of the presence of this Psorobia species within latter genus. Fig. 24 shows a subtree (consistency index 0.518 ) in which no reversals occur. Psorobia cercopitheci disappears and the genus Psorergatoides becomes monophyletic. But also the groupings within latter genus make more sense now. Looking at the host families of the species in the original tree (fig. 23) it occurs that the family Hipposideridae is the sistergroup of all
other bat families in this analysis, and the Psorergatoides species from the family Phyllostomidae do not cluster together. Of course it could be hypothesized that colonization events took place several times, but with different clustering of the species in the original tree a more coherent picture appears. In this case I have used the relationships of the hosts to argument for phylogenetic relationships of the parasites. Of course you cannot use this argument reversed and hypothesize that because of certain affinities of psorergatid species host species or species groups are related. Latter would be a nice example of circular reasoning. Results of this analysis are tentative, moreover because more equally parsimonious trees can be constructed. If I would strictly adhere to constructing a phylogenetic tree using only apomorphies and the rule of parsimony, without admitting reversals, and parallel developments (homoplasy) no tree could be constructed, or a consensus tree would result with a multifurcation at its base and as many branches as OTU's present. Formally there should be no difference between a parallel development of a certain character and the reversal of this same character. As far as I know it cannot be proven that it is more likely for a character to occur more than once instead of being reversed. One should consider though that most of the characters in this group are reductive, and empirically it can be concluded that in a most parsimonious tree (fig. 23) far more parallel developments occur than reversals. Is it an extra assumption to suppose that instead of a reversal the character shows one more parallel development, and resulting the tree becomes successively longer? Also a reversal is an assumption, and hypothesizing no reversals occur a (tentative) tree results in which sistergroup relationships are more clearly elucidated. At least the original analysis learns that strictly adhering to the principle of parsimony (without considering homoplasy, which is also restricted in most mathematical models) no workable hypothesis results. Or should I say here is the result and leave the interpretation to the reader? In the following chapter I will discuss the possible co-evolutionary patterns of parasites and hosts.

Phylogenetic relationships of the genus $P$ sorergates
Phylogenetic relationships of the genus Psorergates are shown in the top half of figure 23. Here too reversals occur. Hypothesizing no reversals occur, like in the genus Psorergatoides, trees result in which the species from sciurid and tupaiid hosts are the sistergroup of all other species of the genus. figure 25 shows a tree with sistergroup relations for the "muricola" group and the "insectivora" group, figure 26 with sistergroup relations for the "muricola" group with the "apodemi" group and $P$. alleni/P. peromysci. Results of this analysis are largely in congruence with those found by Giesen \& OConnor (1987). Discrepancies can be found in the character state polarity of the ratio between the distance of the antero-median and genital setae in males, and the use of the character of the shape of the dorsal shield, resulting in slightly different tree topologies. Apparently the Psorergates species radiated into several groups all infesting Muridae, Cricetidae and Gliridae. Early colonization of Insectivora hosts took place, or otherwise this group was also part of the radiation from the hypothesized ancestor of this large group. Within the "insectivora" group equally parsimonious phylogenetic relationships can be hypothesized between the group infesting Talpidae hosts and the sistergroup of Soricidae parasites, or that Psorergates species from Soricini/Anourosoricini hosts are the sistergroup of the other members of the "insectivora" group. In latter case the species from Talpidae hosts are the sistergroup
of the parasites from Blarinini and Crocidurini. Using the same argument, being less colonization events, as for the genus Psorergatoides I would hypothesize that the tree topology in which the parasites from Talpidae are the sistergroup of the species from Soricidae is more likely.

## Phylogenetic relationships of the genus Psorobia

Finally the genus Psorobia is analysed. Admitting no reversals yields a great number of different trees with virtually every sistergroup relationship possible. An example of one of the trees is given in figure 27. Unfortunately argumenting that hostgroup relationships will help to hypothesize true relationships of this genus are not valid, because the hosts are from very different families and even very different orders. The phylogeny of these host groups is unknown and, as with the genus Psorobia, shows radiation from the basis of the tree. One thing can be concluded from all hypothetical trees: the genus Psorobia is a nonnatural group.

Coevolutionary patterns of Psorergatidae and their host
Host cladograms for the genera Psorergates and Psorergatoides are constructed by substitution of the parasite species by the host species or species groups. Figure 28 shows a host cladogram derived from figure 24, and figure 29 shows a host cladogram derived from figure 25.

Normally coevolutionary patterns can be hypothesized because of congruent or nearly congruent cladograms of hosts and parasites. Incongruencies can be explained by hypothesizing extinction, colonization or sympatric speciation. But I used the gross phylogenetic relationships of the hosts already to hypothesize certain tree configurations. By reversing the argument I would proof nothing, but only show a lot of "wishfull thinking" in trying to show a nice coevolution of hosts and parasites.

It can be concluded that the family Psorergatidae is not a good indicator for host relationships.
Table 5. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergatoides, females; * = number of specimens unknown; n.o. = not observed.

|  | australiensis $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max | kerivoulae $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max | nycteris $(N=5)$ <br> min-max | tadaridae $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> $\min -\max$ | hipposideros $\left(N={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | desmodus ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ ) min-max | artibei $(\mathrm{N}=17)$ <br> min-max | suyanensis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 159-167 | 170-186 | 175-205 | 102-117 | 117-150 | 118-139 | 133-179 | 137-146 |
| body width | 140-152 | 148-162 | 160-180 | 96-105 | 108-142 | 105-121 | 108-143 | 125-132 |
| shield length | 105-112 | 130 | 135 | 75-81 | 84 | 74-81 | 104 | 94 |
| shield width | 100-110 | 126 | 130 | 70-79 | 85 | 68-75 | 99 | 85 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 78-108 | 75-80 | 8-10 | 45-50 | 45-60 | 48-70 | 60-70 | 60 |
| trochanter | 10-16 | 12-18 | 4-6 | 5-8 | n.o. | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| femora I-III | 20-27 | 15-20 | 4-6 | 13-18 | 15-18 | 20 | 11 | 10 |
| femur IV | 14-25 | 12-15 | 4-5 | 10-13 | n.o. | 10 | 12 | 9 |
| genua I-III | 2-3 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1 | 1 | 6-8 | 3 |
| genu IV | 17-29 | 15-18 | 1-2 | 19-23 | 1 | 17 | 15 | 15 |
| lateral shield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| palpal tibial | 15-18 | 13-17 | 8-10 | 5-8 | 15-18 | 15 | 19 | 17 |
| gnathosomal | 6-8 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 4-6 | 5 | 6 | 6-8 | 6 |
| ventral | 4-8 | 6-7 | 3 | 4-5 | n.o. | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 14-20 | 16-18 | 12 | 16-18 | 12 | 14 | 15-50 | 20-23 |
| no setae femur I-III | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| points tarsal spine | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no of clawpoints | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

Table 5. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergatoides, females (continued).

|  | glossophagae $(N=20)$ <br> min-max | surinamensis $(\mathrm{N}=7)$ <br> min-max | lonchorhina $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max | molossi <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ ) <br> min-max | emballonurae $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ <br> min-max | indicicola $(\mathrm{N}=20)$ <br> min-max | peropteryx $(\mathrm{N}=20)$ <br> min-max | rhinolophi $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max | laviae $(\mathrm{N}=3)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 166-191 | 132-146 | 102-118 | 115-124 | 138-153 | 156-186 | 118-143 | 120-138 | 166-190 |
| body width | 143-179 | 107-123 | 80-112 | 92-117 | 135-150 | 136-154 | 100-137 | 110-125 | 140-165 |
| shield length | 118 | 85-94 | 88 | 80 | 107 | 112 | 76-88 | 79 | 120 |
| shield width | 103 | 77-90 | 78 | 80 | 91 | 94 | 70-83 | 72 | 116 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 20-25 | 24-30 | 20-30 | 32-40 | 8-10 | 5 | 20-39 | 45-60 | 50 |
| trochanter | 11 | 5 | 2-3 | 5 | 3-4 | 4 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 |
| femora I-III | 12 | 4 | 1-2 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 12-15 | 15 |
| femur IV | 11-18 | 4 | 1-2 | 2 | n.o. | 3 | 2 | 12-15 | 15 |
| genua I-III | 2 | absent | 1-2 | 2 | 1-2 | absent | 1-2 | absent | 4 |
| genu IV | 2 | absent | 1-2 | 2 | 1-2 | absent | 1-2 | absent | 4 |
| lateral shield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| palpal tibial | 16 | 14-15 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 14 | 20 | 21 |
| gnathosomal | 5-6 | 5-6 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| ventral | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | absent | absent | absent | n.o. | 2 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 15-20 | 7 | 9 | 1216 | - | - | - | 15-18 | 15 |
| no setae femur I-III | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| points tarsal spine | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| no of clawpoints | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergatoides, males.



















Table 6. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergatoides, males (continued).

|  | surinamensis $(N=2)$ <br> min-max | lonchorhina $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | molossi $(\mathrm{N}=20)$ <br> min-max | emballonurae $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | indicicola $(\mathrm{N}=20$ <br> min-max | peropteryx $(\mathrm{N}=20)$ <br> min-max | rhinolophi $(\mathrm{N}=3)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 121 | 99 | 92-117 | 111 | 143-166 | 98-125 | 96-105 |
| body width | 94-98 | 90 | 80-105 | 99 | 105-140 | 82-112 | 81-86 |
| shield length | 74-76 | 69 | 69 | 88 | 103 | 72-81 | 78 |
| shield width | 67-68 | 69 | 69 | 81 | 96 | 61-74 | 70 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | absent | absent | absent | 15-20 | 5-10 | 18-34 | 60-70 |
| trochanter | 3 | 2-3 | 4 | n.o. | 5 | 4 | 6-7 |
| femora I-III | 2-3 | 1-3 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
| femur IV | 2 | 1-3 | 2 | n.o. | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| genua I-III | absent | absent | 1 | absent | absent | 1 | absent |
| genu IV | absent | absent | 1 | absent | absent | 1 | absent |
| lateral shield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| palpal tibial | 14-15 | 12 | 10-12 | 8 | 13 | 12-15 | 12 |
| gnathosomal | 5-6 | 4 | 5-6 | 3 | 6 | 4-5 | 3 |
| ventral | 3 | 2 | 3 | absent | absent | absent | 2 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 10 | 5 | 12 | - | - | - | 5 |
| a.m. setae | 9 | 8-9 | 8 | 11 | 2-3 | 3 | 10-11 |
| genital setae | 5 | 9-10 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 14-15 |
| length penis | 26 | 29 | 18-25 | 50 | 34-51 | 30 | 26 |
| length penis sheath | 14 | 14 | 10-15 | 22 | 18-22 | 10 | 12 |
| no setae femur I-IIII | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| points tarsal spine | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| no of clawpoints | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |

Table 7. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, females.

|  | canadensis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) <br> min-max | watsoni <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | micromydis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=49$ ) <br> min-max | auricola <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) <br> min-max | zibethicalis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) <br> min-max | dissimilis $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | oecomomi <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=17$ ) <br> min-max | townsendi <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 160-179 | 120-138 | 136-171 | 144-162 | 174-207 | 159-168 | 142-174 | 157-169 |
| body width | 126-152 | 92-101 | 107-150 | 114-138 | 144-174 | 120-142 | 119-145 | 137-149 |
| shield length | 103-106 | 90-92 | 93-110 | 99-108 | 111-120 | 91-104 | 99-125 | 100-108 |
| shield width | 69-92 | 58-69 | 78-93 | 78-90 | 100-108 | 81-98 | 81-93 | 91-96 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 76-97 | 67-74 | 70 | 90-100 | 75-90 | 75-80 | 70-87 | 73-93 |
| trochanter | 15-17 | 16-18 | 12 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10-15 |
| femora I-III | 28-32 | 19-21 | 15 | 25-30 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 27-33 |
| femur IV | 34-42 | 21-24 | 20 | 30-45 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 29-37 |
| genua I-III | 8-10 | 8-9 | 5 | 8-10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 9-12 |
| genu IV | 20-24 | 18-21 | 5 | 24-30 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 18-23 |
| lateral shield | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8 | 8-10 |
| palpal tibial | 34-37 | 26-29 | 25-32 | 30-36 | 27-36 | 27-30 | 26-30 | 27-35 |
| gnathosomal | 8-9 | 2 | 6 | 8-10 | 7 | 7 | 8-9 | 6-7 |
| ventral | 9-10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6-7 | 4-5 | 5 | 7-10 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 34-36 | 20-24 | 22-27 | 27-36 | 27-36 | 20-27 | 26-30 | 37-42 |

Table 7. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, females (continued).

|  | deomydis $(\mathrm{N}=9)$ <br> $\min -\max$ | aroalis $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max | pitymidis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | microti $(\mathrm{N}=32)$ <br> min-max | neerlandicus $(\mathrm{N}=*)$ | apodemi $(\mathrm{N}=53)$ <br> min-max | callipidis $(\mathrm{N}=23)$ <br> min-max | peromysci <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | pinetorum $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 132-150 | 126-141 | 117-135 | 105-143 | 132 | 123-157 | 126-156 | 142-153 | 123-140 |
| body width | 111-123 | 111-123 | 105-120 | 90-114 | 115 | 105-132 | 99-132 | 116-126 | 108-122 |
| shield length | 90-99 | 72-75 | 72-84 | 67-75 | 77 | 84-99 | 87-105 | 88-91 | 73-78 |
| shield width | 84-93 | 81-84 | 78-93 | 69-78 | 77 | 78-96 | 78-105 | 78-81 | 76-81 |
| length setae terminal | 66 | 60-66 | 75 | 60-75 | 79 | 75-90 | 75-90 | 66-79 | 66-88 |
| trochanter | 7 | 8-10 | 8-9 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 8-11 | 10-14 |
| femora I-III | 15 | 15 | 20-25 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 16-25 | 22-26 |
| femur IV | 18-20 | 20-25 | 20-25 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 16-29 | 22-30 |
| genua 1-III | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3-5 | 5 | 4-6 | 6-8 |
| genu IV | 15 | 12-15 | 12-15 | 15 | 16 | 10-15 | 18 | 15-18 | 16-22 |
| lateral shield | 4-5 | 5-6 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6-8 | 4-6 |
| palpal tibial | 2-3 | 10-14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10-13 | 15-18 | 15-16 | 10-14 |
| gnathosomal | 4-5 | 3-4 | 3 | 4-5 | 4 | 5-6 | 5 | 5-7 | 4-6 |
| ventral | 6 | 6 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8-11 | 6-8 |
| distance between ventral setae | 14-19 | 11-15 | 9-12 | 9-13 | 9 | 9-13 | 9-12 | 8-13 | 11-14 |

Table 7. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, females (continued).

|  | alleni $(\mathrm{N}=13)$ <br> min-max | muricola $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | oettlei $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ | rattus $(\mathrm{N}=6)$ <br> min-max | simplex <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | hispanicus <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | agrestis <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 154-175 | 120-135 | 135 | 123-139 | 143-150 | 117-129 | 129-150 |
| body width | 130-149 | 93-110 | 117 | 110-117 | 114-119 | 99-105 | 105-129 |
| shield length | 113-132 | 84 | 96 | 88-93 | 101-108 | 78-85 | 81-87 |
| shield width | 106-115 | 75 | 93 | 82-90 | 96-102 | 78-82 | 78-84 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 93-118 | 70-80 | 75 | 60-70 | 72-82 | 75-90 | 65-80 |
| trochanter | 18-20 | 8 | 10 | 8-9 | 8-10 | 8-9 | 7-8 |
| femora I-III | 26-35 | 15 | 15 | 15-18 | 16-17 | 15 | 12-15 |
| femur IV | 28-45 | 15 | 15 | 15-18 | 17-18 | 18-20 | 12-15 |
| genua I-III | 9-11 | 4 | 5 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 4 | 4 |
| genu IV | 16-20 | 4 | 5 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 4 | 4 |
| lateral shield | 6-8 | 5-6 | 5 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 4-5 | 3-4 |
| palpal tibial | 17-22 | 5 | 5 | 1-2 | 5-6 | 4-5 | 5-6 |
| gnathosomal | 6-7 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5 |
| ventral | 5-7 | 6 | 7 | 6-7 | 7 | 6 | 5-6 |
| distance between ventral setae | 13-20 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 8-11 | 10-12 | 9-13 |
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|  | musculinus $\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right) \\ & \text { min-max } \end{aligned}$ | muscardinus $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ <br> min-max | quercinus <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> $\min -\max$ | eliomydis $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ | paraxeri $(\mathrm{N}=8)$ <br> min-max | dremomydis $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{N}=11) \\ & \text { min-max } \end{aligned}$ | glaucomys $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ | tupaiae <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max | fritzi <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 159 | 145-186 | 157-179 | 153 | 113-137 | 110-124 | 122 | 122-137 | 101-129 |
| body width | 114 | 124-152 | 118-151 | 132 | 100-113 | 98-122 | 106 | 103-122 | 102-112 |
| shield length | 111 | 96-106 | 109-118 | 102 | 76-93 | 70-75 | 83 | 78-84 | 71-76 |
| 6shield width | 78 | 74-83 | 76-87 | 78 | 81-93 | 76-78 | 79 | 74-81 | 70-77 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 100 | 69-88 | 72-84 | 90 | 55-63 | 67-80 | 60 | 38-56 | 49-59 |
| trochanter | 18 | 12 | 12-16 | 12 | 11-15 | 10-12 | 8 | 6-10 | 6-9 |
| femora I-III | 25 | 23-27 | 18-22 | 30 | 15-17 | 17-22 | 11 | 8-11 | 7-10 |
| femur IV | 30-35 | 23-27 | 22-24 | 30 | 13-20 | 19-25 | 11 | 7-10 | 6-8 |
| genua I-III | 15 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5-7 | 8-9 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| genu IV | 30 | 17-29 | 16-18 | 15 | 5-9 | 17-22 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
| lateral shield | 33-42 | 8-9 | 7-8 | 7 | 10-12 | 7-10 | 5 | 4-5 | 5-7 |
| palpal tibial | 25-30 | 12-14 | 17-19 | 18 | 13-15 | 12-13 | 10 | 4-5 | 5-7 |
| gnathosomal | 15-20 | 5-7 | 5 | 3 | 4-5 | 4-6 | 4 | 5 | 7-9 |
| ventral | 12 | 8-12 | 11 | 15 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 8 | 3-4 | 4-5 |
| distance between ventral setae | 2 | 7-14 | 14-18 | 15 | 9-14 | 12-16 | 14 | 10-12 | 5-10 |

Table 7. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, females, (continued).

$\begin{aligned} & \text { doriae } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=6) \\ & \text { min-max }\end{aligned}$
111-122
$98-110$
$86-93$
$70-75$
$44-49$
$4-5$
$9-20$
$14-29$
1
$21-29$
$4-6$
absent
$3-4$
$4-5$
$6-8$
$3-$
$17-24$
3
2
acute


| urotrichi <br> $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max | desmanae <br> $(\mathrm{N}=12)$ <br> min-max |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $117-132$ | $135-168$ |
| $88-108$ | $108-140$ |
| $70-78$ | $87-96$ |
| $65-71$ | $75-90$ |
|  |  |
| $62-76$ | $78-98$ |
| $3-4$ | $10-12$ |
| $18-24$ | $20-28$ |
| $26-44$ | $36-45$ |
| 1 | 5 |
| $40-52$ | $51-60$ |
| $2-4$ | 6 |
| $15-23$ | $15-18$ |
| $3-5$ | $5-6$ |
| $3-4$ | $5-6$ |
| $5-8$ | $9-10$ |
| $3-4$ | $5-6$ |
| $9-11$ | $9-11$ |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| rounded | mounded |

body length
body width
7shield length
8shield width
length setae
terminal
trochanter
femora I-III
femur IV
genua I-III
genu IV
tarsus d.a.
tarsus d.p.
lateral shield
palpal tibial
gnathosomal
ventral
distance between
ventral setae
no of clawpoints
no setae femur IV
shape empodium
Table 7. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, females (continued).

|  | cryptotis $(\mathrm{N}=13)$ <br> min-max | baueri $(\mathrm{N}=12)$ <br> min-max | mexicanus $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ <br> min-max | sorici $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=10 \text { ) }$ <br> min-max | cinereus $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max | squamipes $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=20 \text { ) }$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 98-120 | 99-129 | 123-135 | 117-135 | 138-159 | 121-139 |
| body width | 78-98 | 78-101 | 103-113 | 93-99 | 112-131 | 91-112 |
| shield length | 71-76 | 67-81 | 89-95 | 76-87 | 85-92 | 81-89 |
| shield width | 58-65 | 53-68 | 78-84 | 63-72 | 68-77 | 63-70 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 43-62 | 48-58 | 60-75 | 52-60 | 51-77 | 53-77 |
| trochanter | 5-11 | 5-8 | 7-10 | 9-10 | 10-13 | 4 |
| femora I-III | 15-23 | 20-27 | 23-28 | 20-25 | 16-23 | 15 |
| femur IV | 14-23 | 20-27 | 19-25 | 28-33 | 19-25 | 20 |
| genua I-III | 1 | 1 | 2-3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| genu IV | 22-31 | 33-45 | 37-48 | 30-35 | 32-36 | 36-45 |
| tarsus d.a. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-5 | 3-4 | 4 | 2 |
| tarsus d.p. | 14-20 | 14-18 | 20-25 | 14-18 | 16-18 | 9 |
| lateral shield | 4-7 | 3-5 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5 | 4 |
| palpal tibial | 1-3 | 2 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 |
| gnathosomal | 6-9 | 5-8 | 4-7 | 8-9 | 11-13 | 7-9 |
| ventral | 5-8 | 4-6 | 4-7 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 9-13 | 5-10 | 13-21 | 9-11 | 11-13 | 10-30 |
| no of clawpoints | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no setae femur IV | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| shape empodium | acute | acute | acute | rounded | rounded | rounded |

Table 8. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, males.

|  | canadensis $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ <br> min-max | watsoni $(\mathrm{N}=9)$ <br> min-max | micromydis $(\mathrm{N}=12)$ <br> min-max | auricola $(\mathrm{N}=21)$ <br> min-max | zibethicalis $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max | dissimilis $\left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)$ <br> min-max | oeconomi $(\mathrm{N}=3)$ <br> min-max | townsendi $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 131-158 | 115-136 | 139-160 | 135-153 | 150-201 | 145-160 | 145-161 | 137-149 |
| body width | 110-133 | 92-104 | 99-144 | 108-135 | 129-165 | 124-126 | 125-139 | 123-135 |
| shield length | 83-87 | 72-85 | 87-98 | 81-93 | 100-111 | 78-98 | 90 | 87-98 |
| shield width | 63-68 | 56-62 | 64-84 | 69-81 | 90-96 | 70-75 | 73 | 71-98 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 16-38 | 37-48 | 35-44 | 10-54 | 25-39 | 0-36 | 26 | 13-32 |
| trochanter | 10-11 | 9-10 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 8-11 |
| femora 1-III | 19-22 | 16-20 | 10 | 15-20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 21-26 |
| femur IV | 24-29 | 19-23 | 12 | 18-25 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 24-34 |
| genua I-III | 7 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 5-6 | 4-6 | 5 | 8-10 |
| genu IV | 18 | 16-18 | 4 | 15-20 | 10-12 | 12-13 | 14 | 10-17 |
| lateral shield | 6 | 5 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5 | 3-5 | 4-5 | 5-6 |
| palpal tibial | 3 | 3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 3 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 2-3 |
| gnathosomal | 3 | 2 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 3-4 | 2-3 | 4-5 | 3-4 |
| ventral | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5-7 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 32 | 24 | 22-26 | 27-36 | 27-33 | 22-36 | 35-38 | 40-49 |
| a.m. setae | 15 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 16-18 |
| genital setae | 13 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 15-17 |
| length penis | 40-44 | 36-40 | 35-42 | 33-38 | 45-50 | 39-42 | 38 | 35-42 |
| length penis sheath | 34-38 | 33-35 | 24 | 24-27 | 23 | 24-29 | 20 | 35-40 |

Table 8. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, males (continued); ~ = approximately.

|  | deomydis $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ <br> min-max | aroalis $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ <br> $\min -\max$ | pitymydis <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) <br> min-max | microti ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) min-max | neerlandicus $(N=*)$ <br> min-max | apodemi ( $\mathrm{N}=21$ ) min-max | callipidis $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ <br> min-max | peromysci <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) <br> min-max | pinetorum $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ <br> $\min -\max ^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 117-123 | 115 | 100-123 | 97-119 | 104-119 | 114-141 | 117-135 | 120-135 | 103-130 |
| body width | 99-102 | 94 | 87-111 | 85-102 | 82-101 | 91-117 | 99-108 | 102-114 | 88-120 |
| shield length | 75-84 | 69 | 63-72 | 65-70 | 70-78 | 75-85 | 84-90 | 78-86 | 69-79 |
| shield width | 68-81 | 75 | 69-78 | 65-73 | 67-73 | 76-78 | 78-87 | 66-70 | 67-73 |
| length setae terminal | 54-60 | 66 | 60-70 | 45-55 | 62-67 | $\sim 60$ | ~75 | 34-65 | 62-95 |
| trochanter | 5-6 | 9 | 6-7 | 7 | 7-9 | 12 | 10 | 8-9 | 7-9 |
| femora I-III | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16-20 | 15 | 15-20 | 14-15 | 16-20 |
| femur IV | 15 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 19-20 | 15-20 | 20-25 | 11-19 | 20-25 |
| genua I-III | 3-4 | 8 | 5-6 | 6 | 4-5 | 5 | 5 | 4-6 | 6-7 |
| genu IV | 8-10 | 15 | 10-12 | 12-15 | 12-14 | 12-15 | 15-18 | 10-16 | 17-20 |
| lateral shield | 4-5 | 4-5 | 5-7 | 5 | 4 | 5-6 | 6 | 5-6 | 5-7 |
| palpal tibial | 1-2 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 8-11 | 10-13 | 15-18 | 10-15 | 10-11 |
| gnathosomal | 3 | 3-4 | 3 | 4-5 | 4 | 5-6 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 5 |
| ventral | 6 | 6 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 5-8 | 5-7 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 14-16 | 11 | 7-9 | 8-15 | 7-10 | 9-15 | 9-12 | 13-15 | 8-14 |
| a.m. setae | 18 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 18-19 | 15 | 17 | 17-19 | 19-22 |
| genital setae | 10 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7-8 | 8-9 |
| length penis | 30-33 | 28 | 33-36 | 24-27 | 24-30 | 45-51 | 45-51 | 32-38 | 28-34 |
| length penis sheath | 24-25 | 26 | 20 | 24-26 | 20-23 | 37-40 | 35-38 | 19-24 | 21-23 |

Table 8. Measurements and numerical data of the genus $P_{\text {sorergates, }}$ males (continued).
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline & \begin{array}{llllll}\text { alleni } \\ (\mathrm{N}=4)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { muricola } \\ \left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { rattus } \\ (\mathrm{N}=1)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { oettlei } \\ \left(\mathrm{N}={ }^{*}\right)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { simplex } \\ (\mathrm{N}=5) \\ \text { min-max }\end{array} & \text { min-max }\end{array}\right)$
Table 8. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, males (continued).

|  | musculinus $(\mathrm{N}=*)$ <br> min-max | muscardinus ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) min-max | quercinus <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) <br> min-max | paraxeri <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) <br> $\min -\max$ | dremomydis ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) $\min -\max$ | glaucomys $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ | tupaiae $(\mathrm{N}=9)$ <br> min-max | fritzi <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) <br> min-max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 138-147 | 131-154 | 129-154 | 113-135 | 89-107 | 121 | 106-122 | 97-122 |
| body width | 114-132 | 115-138 | 109-134 | 91-108 | 74-95 | 101 | 91-106 | 90-109 |
| shield length | 102 | 79-99 | 98 | 81-92 | 67-74 | 84 | 69-80 | 71-77 |
| shield width | 82 | 63-74 | 66 | 75-90 | 64-75 | 78 | 69-74 | 65-74 |
| length setae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| terminal | 30-50 | 32-38 | 46-49 | 78-94 | 77-80 | 91 | 41-60 | 60-70 |
| trochanter | 11-13 | 7-9 | 6-9 | 11-13 | 9-10 | 8 | 8-10 | 6-8 |
| femora I-III | 20 | 14-16 | 12-14 | 15-18 | 14-20 | 12 | 9-12 | 7-10 |
| femur IV | 20 | 17-22 | 13-16 | 16-22 | 19-23 | 12 | 8-9 | 6-8 |
| genua I-III | 6-8 | 4 | 2-4 | 5-7 | 6-9 | 8 | 3 | 2-3 |
| genu IV | 12-13 | 13-18 | 8-13 | 6-7 | 17-19 | 23 | 3 | 2-3 |
| lateral shield | 8-12 | 8-10 | 5 | 8-14 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5-6 |
| palpal tibial | 7-10 | 3-5 | 6-8 | 11-16 | 9-13 | 12 | 3-6 | 4-6 |
| gnathosomal | 12-16 | 3-5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4-6 | 6-7 |
| ventral | 12 | 6-10 | 7 | 4-8 | 5-6 | 8 | 4 | 3-4 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 2-5 | 13-20 | 16-19 | 9-13 | 12-16 | 15 | 9-12 | 6-9 |
| a.m. setae | 10 | 8-9 | 24-25 | 29-32 | 20-23 | 20 | 25-28 | 23-25 |
| genital setae | 11 | 3-5 | 19-20 | 12-14 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 13-15 |
| length penis | 29-34 | 29-34 | 46-52 | 40-45 | 24-26 | 35 | 36-39 | 20-28 |
| length penis sheath | 18-21 | 18-24 | 28-31 | 38-45 | 18 | 10 | 24-28 | 15-21 |

Table 8. Measurements and numerical data of the genus Psorergates, males (continued).

|  | urotrichi $(\mathrm{N}=2)$ | desmanae $(N=5)$ | talpae $(\mathrm{N}=7)$ | crocidurae $(\mathrm{N}=10)$ | doriae $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=10$ | etruscus $(\mathrm{N}=1)$ | cryptotis $(\mathrm{N}=3)$ | sorici $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=10 \text { ) }$ | cinereus $(\mathrm{N}=6)$ | squamipes $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| body length | 116-119 | 132-146 | 108-120 | 105-114 | 103-113 | 103 | 98-105 | 102-115 | 110-129 | 100-121 |
| body width | 88-91 | 105-120 | 90-99 | 85-93 | 81-93 | 79 | 76-98 | 8493 | 86-113 | 85-100 |
| shield length | 75-76 | 84-90 | 72-74 | 75-84 | 79-92 | 76 | 67-72 | 70-78 | 75-78 | 77-85 |
| shield width | 65-66 | 75-81 | 62-66 | 64-70 | 61-73 | 56 | 50-55 | 57-62 | 63-70 | 60-67 |
| length setae terminal | 56-58 | 72-89 | 51-54 | 42-48 | 26-38 | 32-36 | 45-50 | 52-60 | 41-50 | 45-60 |
| trochanter | 4 | 9-10 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 3 | 4-8 | 6-7 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| femora I-III | 18-25 | 15-20 | 25-28 | 18-23 | 7-14 | 16 | 13-16 | 20-25 | 12-16 | 12 |
| femur IV | 24 | 25-36 | 30-33 | 20-25 | 10-21 | 21 | 18 | 20-25 | 14-19 | 13-27 |
| genua 1-III | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| genu IV | 33-38 | 35-40 | 40-45 | 30-33 | 18-27 | 18 | 24-26 | 27-34 | 29 | 25-30 |
| tarsus d.a. | 2-3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2-3 | 1 | 2-3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| tarsus d.p. | 15-18 | 14-15 | 14-16 | absent | absent | absent | 11-13 | 14-18 | 14 | 7 |
| lateral shield | 4 | 4-5 | 4 | 3-4 | 3 | 3 | 4-5 | 3-4 | 5 | 4 |
| palpal tibial | 4 | 5 | 3-4 | 3 | 2-3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2-3 | 2 |
| gnathosomal | 6 | 9-10 | 6 | 7-8 | 5-6 | 6 | 11-13 | 7-8 | 11 | 7-8 |
| ventral | 4 | 4-5 | 3 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 2-3 | 6 | 3-4 | 6 | 5 |
| distance between |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ventral setae | 8-9 | 7-8 | 7-10 | 12-14 | 11-19 | 7 | 8-11 | 10-14 | 10 | 10 |
| a.m. setae | 11 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 12-13 | 15-16 | 9 | 11 | 12-14 | 13-15 | 13 |
| genital setae | 9-11 | 10-11 | 9 | 7-8 | 9-10 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 |
| length penis | 30-33 | 28-31 | 25-29 | 24-27 | 27-30 | 21 | 31-36 | 36-38 | 36-39 | 50-51 |
| length penis sheath | 19-20 | 23-24 | 18 | 15-16 | 14-21 | 14 | 18-19 | 11-14 | 20-23 | 32-34 |
| no of clawpoints | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no setae femur IV | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| shape empodium | rounded | rounded | rounded | rounded | acute | acute | acute | rounded | rounded | rounded |

Table 9. Mite species list of the family Psorergatidae

| Mite species | Reference | Host family | Host species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genus Psorobia Fain, 1959 |  |  |  |
| bos | Johnston, 1964 | Bovidae | Bos taurus |
| castoris | Kok, Lukoschus \& Clulow, 1970 | Castoridae | Castor canadensis |
| cercopitheci | Zumpt \& Till, 1955 | Cercopithecidae | Cercopithecus aethiops pygerythus |
|  | Sheldon, 1966 | Cercopithecidae | Cercocebus torquates atys |
| elephantuli | Giesen, Spicka \&Whitaker,1985 | Macroscelididae | Elephantulus rozeti |
| foinae | Fain \& Lukoschus, 1968 | Mustelidae | Martes foinae |
| hystrici | Till, 1957 | Hystricidae | Hystrix africae australis |
| lagomorphae | Giesen, Spicka \& Whitaker,1985 | Leporidae | Sylvilagus floridanus |
| mustelae | Lukoschus, 1969 | Mustelidae | Mustela erminea |
|  |  |  | Mustela nivalis |
| ovis | Womersley, 1941; Fain, 1959 | Bovidae | Ovis aries |
| zumpt | Fain, 1965 | Bathyergidae | Cryptomys hottentotus |
| Genus Psorergatoides Fain, 1959 |  |  |  |
| artibei | Lukoschus, Rosmalen \& Fain, 1973 | Phyllostomidae | Artibeus literatus fallax |
| australiensis | Giesen, Lukoschus \& Fain, 1982 | Vespertilionidae | Eptesicus pumilus |
|  |  |  | Eptesicus douglasi |
|  |  |  | Nyctophilus arnhemensis |
|  |  |  | Nyctophilus walkeri |
| desmodus | Lukoschus, Louppen \&Fauran,1979 | Desmodontidae | Desmodus rotundus |
| emballonurae | Fain, 1959b | Emballonuridae | Emballonura nigrescens |
| glossophagae | Lukoschus, Rosmalen \& Fain, 1973 | Phyllostomidae | Glossophagae soricina |
| guyanensis | Lukoschus, Louppen\& Fauran,1979 | 9 Phyllostomidae | Rhinophylla pumilio |
| hipposideros | Fain, 1959b | Hipposideridae | Hipposideros abae |
|  |  |  | Hipposideros caffer centralis |
| indicicola | Lukoschus, Rosmalen \& Fain, 1973 | Emballonuridae | Saccopteryx canescens |
|  |  |  | Saccopteryx billineata |
| kerivoulae | Fain, 1959a | Vespertilionidae | Kerivoula cuprosa |
|  |  |  | Kerivoula harrisoni bellula |
|  | Fain, 1959b |  | Myotis muricola |
|  |  |  | Myotis bocagei |
|  |  |  | Plecotus auritus |
|  | in collection Lukoschus |  | Myotis mysticinus |
| laviae | Fain, 1959a | Megadermatidae | Lavia frons |
| lonchorhina | Fain, 1959b | Phyllostomidae | Lonchorhina aurita |
|  |  | Emballonuridae | Saccopteryx canina |
| molossi | Lukoschus, Rosmalen \& Fain, 1973 | Molossidae | Molossus ater |
|  |  |  | Molossus molossus |
| nycteris | Fain, 1959a | Nycteridae | Nycteris macrotis |
|  |  |  | Nycteris spec. |
| peropteryx | Lukoschus,Louppen \& Fauran,1979Emballonuridae |  | Cormura brevirostris |
|  |  |  | Peropteryx macrotis |
| rhinolophi | Fain, 1959a | Rhinolophidae | Rhinolophus hildebrandti |
|  |  |  | Rhinolophus aethiops |
|  | Fain, 1959a,b |  | Rhinolophus clivosus zuluensis |
|  | Fain, 1959b |  | Rhinolophus hipposideros |
|  |  |  | Rhinolophus affinis |
|  |  |  | Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum |
|  | in collection Lukoschus |  | Rhinolophus mehelyi |


| surinamensis | Lukoschus,Louppen \& Fauran, 1979 in collection | Phyllostomidae | Tonatia nicaraguae Tonatia carrikeri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tadaridae | Giesen, Luk. \& Nadchatram, 1982 | Molossidae | Tadarida mops |
| Genus Psorergates Tyrrell, 1883 |  |  |  |
| agrestis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Microtus agrestis bailloni |
| apodemi | Fain,Lukoschus \& Hallmann,1966 | Cricetidae | Apodemus sylvaticus |
| arvalis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Microtus arvalis meridianus |
| auricola | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Pitymys duodecimcostatus flavescens |
| baucri | Lukosch.,de Cock \& Driessen,1971 | Soricidae | Neomys fodiens |
| callipidis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Apodemus callipides |
| canadensis | Kok, Lukoschus \& Clulow, 1971 | Cricetidae | Microtus pennsylvanicus |
| cinereus | Kok, Lukoschus \& Clulow, 1971 | Soricidae | Sorex cinereus |
| crocidurae | Lukoschus, 1968 | Soricidae | Crocidura russula russula |
| cryptotis | Giesen \& Lukoschus, 1983 | Soricidae | Cryptotis nigrescens |
| deomydis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Deomys ferrugineus chrystyi |
| desmanae | Lukoschus, 1968 | Talpidae | Galemys pyrenaicus |
| dissimilis | Fain, Lukoschus \& Hallmann, 1966 | Cricetidae | Clethrionomys glareolus |
| doriae | Giesen, Lukoschus \& Nadch., 1982 | Soricidae | Crocidura doriae |
| dremomydis | Giesen, Lukoschus \& Nadch., 1982 | Sciuridae | Dremomys rufigenis |
| eliomydis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Gliridae | Eliomys quercinus ophiusae |
| etruscus | de Cock, Lukoschus \& Ariani, 1970 | Soricidae | Suncus etruscus |
| glaucomys | Ah, Peckham \& Atyeo, 1973 | Sciuridae | Claucomys v. volans |
| hispanicus | Lukoschus, Fain \& specimens in collection Lukoschus | Cricetidae | Mus musculus spretus Mus musculus commissarius |
| mexicanus | Giesen, Lukoschus, Whitaker \& Gettinger, 1983 | Soricidae | Notiosorex crawfordi |
| micromydis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Micromys minutus soricinus |
| microti | Fain, Lukoschus \& Hallmann, 1966 | Cricetidae | Clethrionomys glareolus <br> Microtus agrestis |
| muricola | Fain, Lukoschus \& Hallmann, 1966 | Cricetidae | Hybomys univattus |
|  |  |  | Mus musculus |
|  |  |  | Apodemus sylvaticus |
|  | Fain, 1961 |  | Lophuromys aquilus |
|  |  |  | Otomys irroratus elgonis |
| muscardinus | Lukosch., de Cock \& Driessen,1971 | Gliridae | Muscardinus avellanarius |
| musculinus | Michael, 1889 | Cricetidae | Clethrionomys glareolus |
|  | Fain, Lukoschus \& Hallmann, 1966 |  | Arvicola agrestis |
|  | Rioux \& Golvan, 1961 |  | Apodemus sylvaticus |
| neerlandicus | Lukosch., de Cock \& Driessen,1971 | Cricetidae | Microtus oeconomus |
| oeconomi | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Microtus oeconomus arenicola |
| oettlei | Till, 1960 | Cricetidae | Rattus natalensis |
| paraxeri | Giesen \& Lukoschus, 1982 | Sciuridae | Paraxerus cepapi |
| peromysci | Giesen, Lukoschus, Whitaker \& Gettinger, 1983 | Cricetidae | Peromyscus maniculatus Peromyscus leucopus |
| pinetorum | Giesen, Lukoschus, Whitaker | Cricetidae | Microtus pinetorum |
|  | \& Gettinger, 1983 |  |  |
| pitymydis | Lukoschus, Fain \& Beaujean, 1967 | Cricetidae | Pitymys duodecimcostatus flavescens |
| quercinus | Lukosch.,de Cock \& Driessen,1971 | Gliridae | Eliomydis quercinus |
| simplex | Tyrrell, 1883 | Cricetidae | Mus musculus |
|  | Canestrini, 1894 |  |  |
|  | Neumann, 1893 |  |  |


|  | Fain, Lukoschus \& Hallmann, 1966 <br> Canestrini, 1894 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Neumann, 1893 |  | Arvicola agrestis |
| Arvicola arvalis |  |  |  |

Table 10. List of host species of the family Psorergatidae

| Host family | Host species | Locality | Mite species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insectivora |  |  |  |
| Tenrecidae | Echinops telfairi | Madagascar | Psorergatidae spec. |
| Macroscelididae | Elephantulus | Tunis | Psorobia elephantuli |
| Soricidae |  |  |  |
| Soricini | Sorex cinereus | Canada | Psorergates cinereus |
|  | Sorex araneus araneus | Netherlands | Psorergates sorici |
|  | Microsorex koyi | Canada | Psorergates spec. |
| Blarinini | Neomys fodiens | Austria | Psorergates baueri |
|  | Cryptotis nigrescens | Panama | Psorergates cryptotis |
|  | Notiosorex crawfordi | Mexico | Psorergates mexicanus |
| Crocidurini | Crocidura doriae | Malaysia | Psorergates doriae |
|  | Crocidura lasiura | China | Psorergates crocidurae |
|  | Crocidura russula russula | Netherlands, Spain | Psorergates crocidurae |
|  | Suncus etruscus | Italy | Psorergates etruscus |
| Anourosoricini Talpidae | Anourosorex squamipes | Taiwan | Psorergates squamipes |
|  | Galemys pyrenaicus | France | Psorergates desmanae |
|  | Talpa europaea europaea | Netherlands, Spain | Psorergates talpae |
|  | Urotrichus talpoides | Japan | Psorergates urotrichi |
|  | Neurotrichus gibbsii | Washington (USA) | Psorergates spec. |
| Chiroptera |  |  |  |
| Emballonuridae | Emballonura nigrescens | New Guinea | Psorergatoides emballonurae |
|  | Saccopteryx canina | Venezuela | Psorergatoides lonchorhina |
|  | Saccopteryx canescensi | Surinam | Psorergatoides ndicicola |
|  | Saccopteryx billineata | Surinam | Psorergatoides indicicola |
|  | Cormura brevirostris | French Guyana | Psorergatoides peropteryx |
|  | Peropteryx macrotis | French Guyana | Psorergatoides peropteryx |
| Nycteridae | Nycteris macrotis | Zaire | Psorergatoides nycteris |
|  | Nycteris spec. | Ruanda-Urundi | Psorergatoides nycteris |
| Megadermatidae | Lavia frons | Ruanda-Urundi | Psorergatoides laviae |
| Rhinolophidae | Rhinolophus euryale | Italy, Spain | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
|  | Rhinol. clivosus zuluensi s | Zaire | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
|  | Rhinolophus hildebrandti | Zaire | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
|  | Rhinolophus eathiops | Angola | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
|  | Rhinolophus hipposideros | Belgium | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |


|  | Rhinolophus affinis | Birma | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rhinol. ferrum-equinum | Belgium, France | Psorergatoides rhinolophi |
|  | Rhinolophus mehelyi | Italy | $P_{\text {sorergatoides }}$ rhinolophi |
| Hipposideridae | Hipposideros abae | Zaire | Psorergatoides hipposideros |
|  | Hipposideros caffer centralis | Zaire | Psorergatoides hipposideros |
| Phyllostomidae | Lonchorhina aurita | Venezuela | Psorergatoides lonchorhina |
|  | Tonatia nicaraguae | unknown | Psorergatoides surinamensis |
|  | Tonatia carrikeri | Surinam | Psorergatoides surinamensis |
|  | Glossophaga soricina | Surinam | Psorergatoides glossophagae |
|  | Rhinophylla pumilio | French Guyana | Psorergatoides guyanensis |
|  | Artibeus lituratus | Surinam | Psorergatoides artibei |
| Desmodontidae | Desmodus rotundus | French Guyana | Psorergatoides desmodus |
| Vespertilionidae | Myotis muricola | Borneo | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Myotis bocagei | Ivory Coast | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Myotis mysticinus | Malaysia | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Plecotus auritus | Belgium | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Kerivoulae cuprosa | Zaire | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Kerivoulae harrisoni bellula | Zaire | Psorergatoides kerivoulae |
|  | Eptesicus pumilus | Australia | Psorergatoides australiensis |
|  | Eptesicus douglasi | Australia | Psorergatoides australiensis |
|  | Nyctophilus arnhemensis | Australia | Psorergatoides australiensis |
|  | Nyctophilus walkeri | Australia | Psorergatoides australiensis |
| Molossidae | Tadarida mops | Malaysia | Psorergatoides tadaridae |
|  | Molossus ater | Surinam | Psorergatoides molossi |
|  | Molossus molossus | Surinam | Psorergatoides molossi |
| Primates |  |  |  |
| Cercopithecidae | Macaca arctoides | USA (captured) | Psorobia spec. |
|  | Cercopithecus aethiops pygerythus | South Africa | Psorobia cercopitheci |
|  | Cercophithecus mona mona | Africa | Psorobia spec. |
|  | Cercophithecus | Western Africa (captured) | Psorobia cercophitheci |
| Lagomorpha |  |  |  |
| Leporidae | Sylvilagus floridanus | Indiana (USA) | Psorobia lagomorphae |
|  | Scandentia |  |  |
| Tupaiidae | Tupaia dorsalis | Borneo | Psorergates tupaiae |
|  | Rodentia |  |  |
| Sciuridae | Glaucomys volans volans | USA | Psorergates glaucomys |
|  | Dremomys rufigenis | Malaysia | Psorergates dremomydis |
|  | Paraxerus cepapi | South Africa | Psorergates cepapi |
| Heteromyidae | Heteromys anomalus | Venezuela | Psorergates spec. |
| Castoridae | Castor canadensis | Canada | Psorobia castoris |
| Cricetidae | Reithrodontomys megalotis | Canada | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Peromyscus maniculatus | Canada | Psorergates peromysci <br> Psorergates watsoni |
|  | Peromyscus leucopus | Canada | Psorergates peromysci |
|  | Sigmodon hispidus | Georgia (USA) | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Dicrostonyx groenlandicus | Groenland | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Clethrionomys gapperi proteu | Labrador (USA) | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Clethrionomys gapperi | Alberta (USA) | Psorergates spec. |


|  | athabascae |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clethrionomys glareolus | Netherlands | Psorergates microti |
|  |  |  | Psorergates musculinus |
|  |  |  | Psorergates dissimilis |
|  | Clethrionomys rucanus | Sweden | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Clethrionomys rutilus | Sweden | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Arvicola agrestis | unknown | Psorergates simplex |
|  |  | unknown | Psorergates musculinus |
|  |  | Netherlands | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Arvicola arvalis | unknown | Psorergates simplex |
|  | Ondatra zibethica | Germany | Psorergates zibethicalis |
|  | Pitymys savil | Italy | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Pitymys duodecimcostatus | Spain | Psorergates pitymydis |
|  | flavescens | Spain | Psorergates auricola |
|  | Pitymus subterraneus | Netherlands | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Pitymys pinetorum | Indiana (USA) | Psorergates pinetorum |
|  | Microtus arvalis meridianus | Spain | Psorergates arvalis |
|  | Microtus arvalis | Spain, Netherlands | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Microtus agrestis | Engiand | Psorergates musculinus |
|  |  | Netherlands | Psorergates microti |
|  |  | Netherlands | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Microtus agrest is bailloni | Netherlands | Psorergates agrestis |
|  | Microtus oeconomus | w. Mongolia | Psorergates spec. |
|  |  | Netherlands | Psorergates neerlandicus |
|  | Microtus oeconomus arenicola | Netherlands | Psorergates oeconomi |
|  | Microtus nivalis | Switzerland | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Microtus pennsylvanicus | Canada | Psorergates canadensis |
|  | Micromys minutus soricinus | Netherlands | Psorergates micromydis |
|  | Apodemus sylvaticus | Spain | Psorergates callipidis |
|  | callipides | Spain | Psorergates meati |
|  | Apodemus sylvaticus | Netherlands | Psorergates apodemi |
|  |  | France | Psorergates musculinus |
|  | Hybomys univattus | Zaire | Psorergates muricola |
|  | Rattus norvegicus | Japan | Psorergates spec. |
|  | Rattus natalensis | South Africa | Psorergates oettlei |
|  | Mus musculus | Canada | Psorergates simplex |
|  |  | Indiana (USA) |  |
|  |  | Netherlands |  |
|  |  | Netherlands | Psorergates muricola |
|  | Mus musculus spretus | Spain | Psorergates hispanicus |
|  | Mus commissarius | Phillipines | Psorergates hispanicus |
|  | Lophuromys aquilus | Zaire | Psorergates muricola |
|  | Deomys ferrugineus chrystyi | Zaire | Psorergates deomydis |
|  | Otomys irrcratus elgonis | Zaire | Psorergates muricola |
| Gliridae | Muscardinus avellanarius | Germany | Psorergates muscardinus |
|  | Eliomys quercinus | Spain | Psorergates quercinus |
|  | Eliomys quercinus ophiusae | Spain | Psorergates eliomydis |
| Hystricidae | Hystrix africae-australis | South Africa | Psombia hystrici |
| Bathyergidae | Cryptomys hottentotus | South Africa | Psorobia zumpti |
|  | Carn | ivora |  |
| Mustelidae | Mustela nivalis | Netherlands | Psorobia mustelae |
|  | Mustela erminea | Netherlands | Psorobia mustelae |
|  | Martes foinae | Belgium | Psorobia foinae |


|  | Artiodactyla |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bovidae | USA | Psorobia bos |  |
|  | Bos taurus | Ovis aries | Australia, USA | Psorobia ovis
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Figs. 1-3. Psorergates cepapi Giesen \& Lukoschus, 1982. 1) female venter. (a I: adanal lobe; em: empodium; Fe: femur; Ge: genu; GO: genital opening; $h$ 1, $h 2$ 2: terminal setae; ic III: intercoxal or ventral setae; pme 1: internal subcapitular or subgnathosomal setae; Ta: tarsus; Ti: tibia; Tr: trochanter). 2) tibia and tarsus of leg I, ventral side. ( $p$ "@: enveloped seta of tarsus I-II; so: solenidion omega; $t c$ ': dorso-anterior seta; tc": dorso-posterior seta). 3) Tibia-tarsus segment of palps (formerly tarsus-segment) with three spine-like setae.


Figs 4-8. Psorergates cepapi Giesen \& Lukoschus, 1982. 4) female dorsum. (c, $d$ : lateral shield setae; $d F$ : dorsal femoral or palpal tibial seta; $d G$ : dorsal genual seta or apical spur; sc $i$ : lateral shield seta; $v e$ : antero-median seta; $v G$ : antiaxial seta). 5) male dorsum. (ats: dorso-lateral seta on tibia-tarsus (formerly tarsus) segment of palps; GO: genital opening; ps: genito-anal (genital) setae; stc: stylophore capsule; $v e$ : antero-median seta). 6) terminal part of male venter ( $h$ : terminal seta). 7) ep: supracoxal (gnathosomal) seta. 8) chelicera.


Figs. 9-17. Developmental stages of the family Psorergatidae. 9) female with egg; 10) egg; 11) egg with praelarva; 12) larva enveloped by praelarva and egg; 13) larva; 14) gnathosoma larva, dorsally; 15) protonymph; 16) gnathosoma deutonymph, dorsally; 17) deutonymph with gnathosoma dorsally, and three-pointed claw.


Figs. 18-19. Psorergates simplex (Tyrrell, 1883); 18, female venter; 19, female dorsum.


Figs. 20-22. Psorergates simplex (Tyrrell, 1883); 20, male venter; 21, tarsus of leg I, ventrally; 22, male dorsum.


Fig. 23. Cladogram of the species and species groups of the family Psorergatidae constructed with the aid of computer program PAUP. (): reversal.


Figs. 24 (upper), 25. Cladograms of the genera Psorergatoides, and Psorergates. For explanation see text.


Figs. 26 (upper), 27. Cladograms of the genera $P$ sorergates, and Psorobia. For explanation see text.


Fig. 28. Host cladogram of the families parasitized by Psorergatidae derived from figure 24. The numbers between parentheses represent the number of infested host species). For further explanation see text.


Fig. 29. Host cladogram of the families parasitized by Psorergatidae derived from figure 25. The numbers between parentheses represent the number of infested host species). For further explanation see text.


[^0]:    *For reasons of comparison and a more uniform nomenclature of the chaetotaxy of body and legs I followed the nomenclature setae given in Moss et al. (in prep.). If old names are different from the ones given in Moss et al. (in prep.) these will be given in parentheses in this section. Throughout the manuscript mostly old names will be used to make comparison with the original literature easier.

